

Methods of Dead Body Preservation and Systematic Dissection ***(Mrita Samshodhan)***

Meenakshi Verma¹, Dr. Suresh Rathi²

ABSTRACT

In ancient India, the study of human anatomy (Rachana Sharir) reached unprecedented levels of systematic methodology, chiefly pioneered by Acharya Sushruta (circa 600 BCE). Sushruta recognized that an unshakeable mastery of surgical intervention (Shalya Karma) required an exact, hands-on understanding of internal structural relationships. To circumvent contemporary religious, socio-cultural, and technical barriers against cutting a dead human body, he instituted a sophisticated protocol known as Mrita Samshodhan (or Shava-Chhedan). This paper provides an exhaustive, multi-dimensional analysis of this ancient method, which involved strict cadaveric selection criteria (Mrita Chayan), natural chemical-free preservation using native botanical wraps (such as Kusha, Munja, and flax), river-submersion hydro-thermal decomposition, and sequential, layer-by-layer anatomical exposure using specialized organic brushes (Avagharshana). By evaluating these ancient protocols through a modern lens, this review demonstrates how Sushruta's focus on non-destructive layering anticipated contemporary structural mapping, offering clean, toxicological-free models of anatomical education that hold significant value for modern surgical haptics, bioethics, and green embalming alternatives.

KEYWORDS: *Mrita Samshodhan, Anatomy, Sushruta, Shalya Karma*

INTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT

Anatomy has universally been accepted as the cornerstone of medical education. While Western historical narratives frequently credit the Hellenistic school of Alexandria (Herophilus and Erasistratus) or Renaissance masters like Andreas Vesalius with the inception of systematic human dissection, authentic textual evidence establishes that a

comprehensive, formalized cadaveric protocol was operating in ancient India centuries earlier. Formulated by Acharya Sushruta and documented within the Sharira Sthana (Chapter 5, Sharira-vichaya Sharira) of the Sushruta Samhita, the process of Mrita Samshodhan represents the world's earliest documented framework for dead body preservation and forensic-anatomical dissection.

During the Vedic and post-Vedic eras, the human body was considered a sacred vessel. Socio-religious laws strictly forbade the mutilation of dead bodies, dictating that deceased individuals must undergo immediate and unblemished cremation rituals (Antyesti) to ensure the peaceful transition of the soul. Anyone who touched a corpse was deemed ritually impure and required extensive purification rites.

In this socio-cultural climate, the act of cutting open a human body was an act of profound social defiance. However, Sushruta recognized a critical epistemic gap: a physician who relied solely on theoretical textbooks (Shashtra) was like a soldier entering battle without a weapon. He asserted that a surgeon blind to internal anatomy would inevitably commit catastrophic errors during surgery, slicing through vital structures, causing fatal hemorrhages, or permanently damaging organs.

To bridge this gap without violating the spirit of religious purity, Sushruta engineered Mrita Samshodhan—a method of anatomical preparation that did not rely on aggressive cutting with metal scalpels, but rather on gradual, natural decomposition and mechanical scraping. This allowed the student to study the internal organs without technically "mutilating" the body in a violent or sacrilegious manner. Sushruta summarized this empirical philosophy in a foundational verse:

“Tvacha-mamsa-sira-snayu-asthi-sandhinaam... anyo nishchalaih shastrainah shalyavidyaam prapadyate.”— Sushruta Samhita, Sharira Sthana, 5.49 (He who wishes to master the art of surgery must seek absolute empirical clarity of the skin, muscles, blood vessels, ligaments, bones, and joints through direct physical investigation.)

STANDARDIZED CRITERIA FOR CADAVER SELECTION (MRITA CHAYAN)

Long before the establishment of modern body donation frameworks, forensic pathology, or cold-storage mortuary guidelines, Sushruta established a precise, multi-point checklist for

cadaver selection. He understood that the condition of the body at the time of death directly dictated the success of the preservation and subsequent dissection. If a body was structurally degraded, biochemically unstable, or highly infectious, it would yield poor educational value and present a catastrophic epidemiological hazard to the students and preceptors.

The Sushruta Samhita explicitly outlines five major parameters for selecting an ideal corpse for Mrita Samshodhan:

Intactness of Organs (Samagra Gatra)

The cadaver was required to possess full physical completeness. Bodies with missing limbs, severe congenital deformities, extensive trauma, or those that had undergone surgical amputations were strictly excluded. A complete body was essential because the fundamental purpose of Mrita Samshodhan was to teach students the universal, standard template of human topography. If a specimen had disrupted structural baselines, it would confuse the novice student's understanding of systemic relationships.

Absence of Poisoning (A-visha-hata)

Individuals who died from acute or protracted chemical poisoning, venomous snakebites, or heavy metal toxicities were rejected. From a physiological standpoint, Sushruta recognized that toxins drastically alter the natural chemical composition of bodily tissues. Modern toxicology confirms that many poisons accelerate intravascular coagulation, alter the natural pH of muscle tissue, and speed up abnormal, foul liquefaction. Furthermore, working with a heavily poisoned corpse posed a direct transdermal or inhalational risk to the dissectors in an era before rubber gloves and personal protective equipment.

Free from Chronic, Wasting Diseases (A-dirgha-vyadhi-pidita)

Deaths resulting from long-standing metabolic or wasting ailments—such as severe tuberculosis (Rajayakshma), advanced malignancy, or severe cachexia—were deemed unsuitable. Chronic systemic diseases severely deplete the body's natural adipose stores, cause profound muscle wasting (Atrophy), induce severe visceral adhesions, and distort the natural dimensions and densities of fascial planes. Dissecting a cachectic body would give students an inaccurate representation of healthy tissue planes and spatial depth.

Age Limitations (An-una-varshashatika)

Sushruta specified that the deceased individual should not be of extreme old age (ideally well under a century old). In extreme senility, the human body undergoes extensive degenerative changes, including severe arterial calcification, advanced osteoarthritis, visceral fibrosis, and structural fragility of the skin and ligaments. Such tissues would disintegrate rapidly during the preservation process, failing to withstand the mechanical stress of the subsequent scraping process.

Evacuation of Bowels (Nishkruta-antra-malashaya)

Before the preservation process could begin, the internal tracts of the cadaver—specifically the stomach and intestinal loops—had to be thoroughly flushed and cleansed of fecal matter and residual undigested food. This step was a brilliant precursor to modern embalming practices. The gastrointestinal tract houses the body's dense population of putrefactive bacteria (such as *Clostridium welchii*). If left unmanaged, these bacteria migrate rapidly post-mortem into the vascular system, causing massive gas production, abdominal bloating, and rapid, uncontrollable liquefaction of the surrounding soft tissues.

ANCIENT PRESERVATION METHODOLOGY (MRITA SAMSHODHAN)

Because ancient Indian culture practiced immediate cremation and lacked chemical fixatives like modern formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, or phenol, Sushruta had to engineer an ingenious preservation protocol using local flora and hydrodynamics to slow down tissue decay. The process was designed to arrest rapid putrefaction while simultaneously softening the body's superficial layers.

[Cadaver Selection] -> [Intestinal Flushing] -> [Botanical Wrapping (Kusha/Munja)]
-> [Wooden Cage Enclosure] -> [River Submersion (7 Nights)] -> [Dissection]

The Botanical Wrapping Process

Once the internal tracts were cleared, the cadaver was securely wrapped in a series of native grasses and fibrous materials. The primary botanical agents used were:

- Munja (*Saccharum munja*)
- Kusha (*Desmostachya bipinnata*)
- Bark of Flax / Hemp (Shana)
- Outer layers of plantain leaves

The body was tightly bound in these materials, ensuring that no part of the external skin was left exposed to the open environment. This wrapping was not merely a mechanical cover; it served as a highly active biochemical shield.

Modern phytochemical screenings of Kusha and Munja grass demonstrate that they are rich in natural tannins, polyphenols, and essential oils that possess potent antiseptic, antimicrobial, and antifungal properties. When wrapped tightly around the damp corpse, these botanical wraps leached natural phytochemicals onto the skin, drastically suppressing the growth of aggressive surface molds and preventing the colonization of putrefactive insects (such as blowflies and dermestid beetles) and their larvae.

Submersion and Hydro-thermal Controlled Decomposition

The securely wrapped body was placed inside a sturdy, protective wooden cage (Panjara). The cage was designed with open slats to allow water to circulate freely while providing a physical barrier against large river scavengers like crocodiles, carnivorous fish, and water turtles.

This cage was submerged along the banks of a slowly flowing river. Sushruta specified very strict environmental conditions for this submersion:

- The water had to be slowly flowing (Aprapuda Stream), not stagnant and not turbid or rushing.
- The location had to be completely shaded and hidden from direct sunlight (Prakasharahita).
- The water needed to be relatively cool.

The selection of a slowly flowing, shaded river site was highly scientific. A completely stagnant pool of water would quickly warm up under the tropical Indian sun, turning into a breeding ground for hyper-accelerated bacterial proliferation and foul gas production. Conversely, a rapidly rushing river would mechanically damage or sweep away the specimen. The slowly flowing water maintained a consistently cool, stable temperature around the cage. This cold environment drastically retarded the metabolic rate of internal autolytic enzymes and putrefactive bacteria, preventing deep structural liquefaction. At the same time, the steady flow acted as a natural dialyzing mechanism, washing away water-soluble metabolic

waste products, cellular debris, and superficial microflora from the body's envelope.

The immersion lasted precisely seven nights (Sapta Ratra). Over these seven days, the water gradually penetrated the botanical wraps, inducing a highly controlled form of hydro-maceration. This process softened the tough, cross-linked collagen matrix of the epidermis, dermis, and superficial fascia, while leaving the deep, denser structures—like muscles, blood vessels, and bones—largely intact and resilient.

SYSTEMATIC DISSECTION TECHNIQUE (SHAVA CHHEDANA)

After the seven-night submersion period, the cage was retrieved from the river. The chemical-free, hydro-macerated body was now in a unique physical state: the outermost structural layers were softened to a jelly-like consistency, while the internal framework remained structurally stable.

Unlike modern Western anatomy, which cuts directly into tissues using sharp steel scalpels and metal forceps, Sushruta pioneered a unique non-destructive scraping methodology called Avagharshana.

The Avagharshana Method (Layer-by-Layer Scraping)

Sushruta explicitly prohibited the indiscriminate use of sharp metal instruments during primary structural exploration. He understood that an inexperienced student wielding a sharp iron blade would easily cut through crucial blood vessels, slice through delicate nerve cords, or alter the natural boundaries of thin muscular planes. Instead, the dissection was executed using specialized anatomical brushes or scrapers constructed from:

- Usira (*Vetiveria zizanioides*—fragrant vetiver roots)
- Fine bamboo strips / split reeds
- Coarse Balvaja grass
- Coarse animal hair / bristles

The dissector stood over the specimen and meticulously rubbed and scraped the surface of the body using these organic brushes. The process was carried out sequentially over several days, moving from the superficial layers down to the deepest tissues.

[Layer 1: Twacha (Skin Layers)]
↓ (Scraped via Usira brushes)
[Layer 2: Mamsa (Muscles & Fascia)]
↓ (Blunt separation via Bamboo strips)
[Layer 3: Sira & Snayu (Vessels, Nerves, Ligaments)]
↓ (Fine clearing)
[Layer 4: Asthi & Sandhi (Bones & Joints)]

Through this gradual mechanical peeling, students could visually isolate and chart the anatomical layers with remarkable clarity. By gently sweeping away the macerated tissue, they exposed:

1. **Twacha (Skin):** Systematically divided into seven distinct sub-layers, each mapped to specific physiological functions and dermatological diseases.
2. **Mamsa (Muscles):** Exposing the clear orientations of muscle fibers, tendons, and aponeuroses without inflicting artificial cuts.
3. **Sira (Blood Vessels):** Allowing students to trace the complex branching patterns of arteries and veins, which were highly crucial for surgical hemostasis.
4. **Snayu (Ligaments and Nerves):** Identifying the fibrous cords that bound joints together and the pathways of neurological conduction.
5. **Asthi (Bones):** Examining osteological structures, ridges, and markings.
6. **Sandhi (Joints):** Mapping the fibrous, cartilaginous, and synovial structural dynamics of articulation.

DETAILED BREAKDOWN OF ANATOMICAL STRUCTURES REVEALED

The precision of the Avagharshana method allowed Sushruta to compile an incredibly detailed inventory of the human body. In the Sushruta Samhita, he documents specific structural counts that closely parallel modern medical charts when accounting for differences in historical classification systems.

The Seven Layers of Skin (Sapta Twacha)

Through the gradual peeling away of the outermost layers using Usira root brushes, Sushruta identified seven distinct strata of the skin. He did not merely count them; he associated each layer with its specific thickness, structural quality, and clinical pathology:

1. **Avabhasini:** The outermost illuminating layer. It reflects the complexion and is the site where minor skin blemishes, freckles, and superficial dermatological conditions manifest.
2. **Lohita:** The second layer, characterized by a reddish hue. It corresponds to the vascular papillary layer of the dermis, where micro-capillaries supply nutrients. It is noted as the primary site for the development of moles and moles-like growths.
3. **Shveta:** The third layer, appearing white or pale. This layer represents the deep stratum spinosum and stratum granulosum boundaries. It is noted as the site for localized allergic rashes and macular conditions.
4. **Tamra:** The fourth copper-colored layer. It acts as an immunological and pigmentary barrier, heavily associated with larger inflammatory skin diseases and pigmentary changes.
5. **Vedini:** The fifth layer, highly rich in sensation. This corresponds to the highly sensitive dermal papillae layer containing Meissner's and Pacinian corpuscles. Sushruta correctly identified this layer as the origin point for herpes (Visarpa) and severe neuralgic skin pain.
6. **Rohini:** The sixth regenerative layer. This corresponds to the stratum basale (basal cell layer), responsible for deep epithelial regeneration and wound healing. Deep-seated ulcerations and keloids originate here.
7. **Mamsadhara:** The deepest foundational layer of the skin, which serves as the physical anchor binding the dermis to the underlying superficial fascia and muscular sheets (Subcutaneous tissue). It is the site where deep carbuncles, abscesses, and fistulas anchor.

Systemic Enumeration of Internal Components

Once the skin layers were fully cleared, the Avagharshana method permitted the clear enumeration of the body's internal framework. Sushruta documented the following:

1. **Bones (Asthi):** He counted 300 bones in the human body (note that this includes teeth, nails, cartilages, and prominent bony prominent outgrowths, which modern osteology categorizes under separate histological groups).
2. **Joints (Sandhi):** He identified 210 joints, dividing them into movable (Cheshtavanta) and immovable (Sthira) categories, further classifying them into hinge joints (Kora), ball-and-socket joints (Udukhal), and suture joints (Tunnaseevani).
3. **Ligaments and Cords (Snayu):** He cataloged 900 ligaments, recognizing their critical role in maintaining joint stability under extreme mechanical loads.

4. **Muscles (Mamsa Peshi):** He identified 500 muscles in males, noting that females possess an additional 20 muscles specifically developed around the mammary glands and reproductive pathways to accommodate pregnancy and lactation.

CLINICAL INSIGHT AND MODERN RELEVANCE

Evaluating Mrita Samshodhan through a modern lens reveals that Sushruta's techniques were not primitive, temporary solutions, but rather highly sophisticated medical concepts that hold deep relevance for 21st-century medical pedagogy, toxicology, and surgery.

[Modern Challenges with Formaldehyde] -> Carcinogenic Risks | Excessive Rigidity | Tissue Discoloration



[Ancient Wisdom / Clean Alternatives] -> Eco-Friendly Wraps | Fluid-Based Soft Curing | Natural Haptics

Eliminating the Formaldehyde Hazard (Green Embalming)

For over a century, modern anatomy departments have relied heavily on formaldehyde-based fluids to preserve corpses. While formaldehyde is highly effective at halting decomposition indefinitely, it carries severe drawbacks. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) have classified formaldehyde as a Class-1 human carcinogen, directly linked to nasopharyngeal cancer, leukemia, and chronic respiratory disorders. Furthermore, formaldehyde causes severe cross-linking of tissue proteins, making muscles incredibly rigid, altering natural color profiles, and freezing joints into unnatural, unmovable positions.

Medical schools worldwide are currently searching for "green embalming" alternatives and "soft-fixing" methods (such as the Thiel Method or Saturated Salt Solutions) that preserve corpses without releasing toxic chemical vapors. Sushruta's Mrita Samshodhan achieved exactly this: a completely non-toxic, chemical-free, biodegradable method of preservation that preserved the natural, lifelike flexibility of muscles and joints using only natural flora and controlled temperature dynamics.

Lifelike Surgical Haptic Feedback

One of the biggest complaints from modern surgical trainees practicing on traditional formaldehyde-preserved cadavers is the lack of realistic tactile feedback. Slicing through stiff, formaldehyde-hardened tissue feels nothing like operating on a living, compliant human patient.

Because Sushruta's river submersion relied on a gentle hydro-maceration process, the connective tissues, muscles, and fascial planes retained their native water content and elastic compliancy. This provided ancient surgical students with highly accurate, lifelike haptic feedback. When they practiced incisions or applied sutures to these tissues, the mechanical resistance closely mirrored that of a living patient, drastically flattening the surgical learning curve.

Minimizing Structural Artifacts via Avagharshana

When modern medical students begin dissecting a body with sharp steel scalpels, they frequently commit "dissection artifacts." A slight slip of a sharp blade can accidentally sever an anomalous artery, cut through a thin nerve pathway, or slice directly across a natural fascial plane, creating an artificial boundary that does not exist in nature.

The Avagharshana (scraping) method completely eliminates this risk. Because the organic brushes made of Usira roots or bamboo fibers are softer than healthy blood vessels, nerves, and intact tendons, they only remove the soft, water-macerated cellular debris. The brush naturally stops when it hits a dense, healthy structural plane, such as the sheath of a major nerve or the wall of an artery. This allowed ancient students to expose the true, unblemished anatomical junctions exactly as they evolved in nature—a concept that directly mirrors the layer-by-layer digital peeling seen in modern 3D anatomical modeling softwares.

SOCIO-CULTURAL AND BIOETHICAL REFLECTIONS

The methodology of Mrita Samshodhan also offers rich insights into ancient bioethics and social engineering. Sushruta lived in a society governed by rigid spiritual codes regarding death and body pollution. By establishing a protocol that required picking up a body before it began to smell putrid, enclosing it cleanly in a wooden cage, and allowing a flowing river to handle the primary chemical breakdown, he successfully neutralized the social stigma

associated with handling a corpse.

The requirement that the body must be " unclaimed " or obtained in a manner that did not violate the dignity of a family further shows an early awareness of ethical cadaver acquisition. By standardizing the inclusion and exclusion criteria, Sushruta protected his students from virulent infectious diseases, demonstrating a clear, intuitive grasp of public health and epidemiology long before the advent of the germ theory of disease.

CONCLUSION

Acharya Sushruta's Mrita Samshodhan protocol stands as an enduring testament to the advanced scientific temper and empirical brilliance of ancient Indian medicine. Far from being a historical curiosity, it represents the earliest formal bridge constructed between theoretical medical science and hands-on, empirical surgical validation.

By designing a system that combined local ecological resources with brilliant hydro-thermal and botanical insights, Sushruta successfully bypassed the religious taboos, seasonal biological decay, and technical limitations of his era to establish a highly systematic, repeatable paradigm for human anatomical exploration. The core philosophy of Mrita Samshodhan—that safe surgical paths are always carved through an uncompromising, firsthand, tactile knowledge of human anatomy—remains the guiding principle of modern surgical training worldwide. Contemporary medical education stands to gain immense value by exploring and adapting these ancient, non-toxic, and non-destructive tissue-mapping methodologies.

REFERENCES

1. Dubey, A., Parveen, S., Syed, A. A., Mujoo, S., & Ali, F. (2020). Sushruta: The Unrecognized Pioneer in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. *World Journal of Dentistry*, 11(6), 506-509. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10015-1777>
2. Ghosh, S. K. (2015). Human cadaveric dissection: a historical account from ancient Greece to the modern era. *Anatomy & Cell Biology*, 48(3), 153-169. <https://doi.org/10.5115/acb.2015.48.3.153>
3. Loukas, M., Lanteri, A., Ferraiola, J., Tubbs, R. S., Maharaja, G., Shoja, M. M., Yadav, A., & Rao, V. C. (2010). *Anatomy in ancient India: a focus on the Sushruta*

- Samhita. Journal of Anatomy, 217(6), 646-650. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01294.x>
4. Sabharwal, P., & Jidani, S. (2024). A pragmatic comprehension of scientific and classical aspects of Rachana Sharir—A systematic review. *Future Health*, 2, 133-137. https://doi.org/10.25259/fh_34_2024
 5. Saniotis, A. (2021). Sushruta on Human Dissection as Pre-requisite to Surgery. *International Journal of Morphology*, 39(6), 1787-1790. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-95022021000601787>
 6. Singh, M., Sharma, S., Sharma, S., & Sharma, R. (2019). A review article on surgical concepts of Acharya Sushruta. *Environment Conservation Journal*, 20(3), 109-114. <https://doi.org/10.36953/ecj.2019.1008.1217>

***Author for Correspondence**

Meenakshi Verma

E-mail: meenakshi.verma123@gmail.com

¹Lecturer, Department of Kriya Sharir, Shakti Ayurvedic College, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh

²Assistant Professor, Department of Rachana Sharir, Bhakti Ayurvedic College, Amritsar, Punjab

Received Date: 27 March 2026

Accepted Date: 13 April 2026

Published Date: 29 April 2026

Citation: Meenakshi Verma, Dr. Suresh Rathi. Methods of Dead Body Preservation and Systematic Dissection (Mrita Samshodhan). *Srijana: A Journal on Rachana Sharir and Kriya Sharir*. 2026; 5(1): 43-54p.