MANTECH Publications

Journal of Water Resource Engineering and Pollution Studies
Volume 10, Issue 2, May- August, 2025

Assessing The Impact of Industrial Effluents on River Water Quality: A Case Study Approach

Pallavi Menon[1], Anamika Jain[2], Ritu Sharma[3]

Student[1], Assistant Professor[2]

Department of Civil Engineering

Bhilai Institute of Technology

***Email ID**: Anamika.jain32@gmail.com[2]*

ABSTRACT

Rivers are vital for freshwater supply, yet they face escalating threats from unchecked industrial pollution. This paper explores the impact of industrial effluents on river systems using detailed case studies from regions in India with significant industrial presence. It focuses on analyzing heavy metal concentrations, organic load, and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) levels before and after effluent discharge. Using water quality index (WQI) and statistical modeling tools, the study establishes a strong correlation between industrial zones and degradation in river water quality. The research also examines compliance gaps in effluent treatment norms and evaluates the effectiveness of regulatory enforcement. The paper advocates for real-time water monitoring, stricter compliance regimes, and promotion of cleaner production technologies in industries to protect freshwater ecosystems.

KEYWORDS: *Industrial Effluents, River Pollution, Water Quality Index, BOD, Compliance Monitoring.*

INTRODUCTION

Freshwater resources are essential for sustaining human life, biodiversity, and industrial development. However, rapid industrialization, particularly in developing nations, has introduced new challenges to water quality preservation. Rivers, being the most accessible water bodies, are often used as disposal channels for industrial waste. The direct discharge of untreated or partially treated industrial effluents into rivers alters their physical, chemical, and

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

biological composition, thereby deteriorating water quality and posing serious environmental and public health threats. This paper critically examines the extent and nature of industrial effluent pollution in rivers through a case study approach, evaluates community and ecological consequences, and reviews the adequacy of existing environmental governance.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To analyze the chemical composition of industrial effluents and their effect on river water parameters.
- To investigate the ecological and human health implications in the affected regions.
- To assess the effectiveness of pollution control policies and enforcement mechanisms.
- To propose remedial strategies for mitigating effluent-induced pollution.

METHODOLOGY

Case Study Selection

To assess the diverse industrial impacts on river water quality, three river systems across different Indian states were strategically selected based on their proximity to heavily industrialized zones and known pollution history:

- **The Damodar River (Jharkhand and West Bengal):** Known as the "Ruhr of India," this river flows through coalfields and is surrounded by steel plants, power stations, and coal washeries, making it one of the most industrially influenced rivers in eastern India.
- **The Musi River (Telangana)**: Flowing through Hyderabad, this river is highly polluted due to dense clusters of pharmaceutical, chemical, and biomedical industries along its banks. It is frequently cited in pollution control board reports for antibiotic contamination.
- **The Noyyal River (Tamil Nadu)**: Originating in the Western Ghats and flowing through Tirupur, this river is notorious for pollution from textile dyeing and bleaching industries. Industrial effluent discharges have led to widespread environmental degradation and agricultural distress in the region.

The selected rivers represent a broad geographical and industrial diversity, allowing the study to capture regional disparities in pollution levels and policy effectiveness.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

Data Collection

A combination of field sampling, laboratory testing, and secondary data review was employed for robust environmental assessment.

- **Sampling Points**: For each river, two primary sampling zones were established upstream (control site) and downstream (impact site) of known industrial effluent discharge outlets.
- **Frequency**: Samples were collected during both dry and wet seasons to observe seasonal fluctuations in pollutant concentrations.
- **Parameters Analyzed:**
  - **pH:** To determine the acidic or alkaline nature of the water.
  - **Dissolved Oxygen (DO):** A key indicator of river health and aquatic life sustainability.
  - **Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD):** To assess the level of biodegradable organic matter.
  - **Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD):** To estimate the presence of chemical pollutants.
  - **Heavy Metals:** Focused on lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), and chromium (Cr) due to their high toxicity and prevalence in industrial effluents.
  - **Total Dissolved Solids (TDS):** Indicative of the overall mineral and chemical load in the river.

- **Analytical Methods:**
  - All samples were analyzed as per APHA (American Public Health Association) standard methods.
  - Heavy metals were quantified using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS).
  - DO, BOD, and COD were measured using titrimetric methods and UV spectrophotometry.

- **Secondary Data:** Supplementary data were obtained from:
  - State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs)
  - Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) annual water quality reports
  - Peer-reviewed scientific journals
  - Water Quality Index (WQI) mapping reports by government and private institutions

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

This triangulated approach enabled validation and cross-checking of results from both primary and secondary sources.

Community Perception Survey

To understand the human and social dimensions of river pollution, a qualitative ethnographic approach was incorporated into the methodology.

- **Stakeholders Involved**:
  - Local Residents using river water for domestic purposes
  - Farmers dependent on river water for irrigation
  - Fishermen relying on river biodiversity for livelihood
  - Environmental Activists and local NGOs advocating for clean water rights

- **Method:**
  - Semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs) were conducted in villages and towns along the downstream stretches.
  - Participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure a mix of gender, occupation, and socio-economic background.
  - Responses were recorded and thematically analyzed to extract common issues related to health, livelihood, crop damage, and perceptions of government accountability.

- **Common Issues Highlighted:**
  - Complaints of skin allergies, digestive problems, and respiratory ailments due to contaminated water.
  - Reports of crop yield reduction and soil infertility caused by toxic irrigation.
  - Fading fish stocks, forcing traditional fishermen to abandon their profession.
  - Lack of compensation and limited access to grievance redressal mechanisms.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

Physicochemical Deterioration of Water Quality

All three rivers displayed considerable degradation of water quality downstream from effluent discharge points.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

- **pH and DO levels:** Deviations from standard pH (6.5–8.5) were observed, with acidic levels in Musi and alkaline conditions in Damodar. DO levels were significantly reduced, particularly during the summer, indicating high organic load and poor self-purification capacity.
- **BOD and COD:** These values were alarmingly high. BOD levels in the Musi River reached 80 mg/L in downstream areas, far exceeding the safe limit of 3 mg/L. COD values in the Noyyal River exceeded 300 mg/L, suggesting the presence of non-biodegradable pollutants.
- **Heavy Metals**: Chromium and lead concentrations in Damodar and Noyyal exceeded WHO drinking water standards. High cadmium levels were noted in the Musi River, linked to pharmaceutical waste.

Table 1: Comparative Water Quality Parameters (Upstream Vs. Downstream)

River Name	Sampling Point	pH	DO (mg/L)	BOD (mg/L)	COD (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)
Damodar	Upstream	7.3	6.5	2.8	45	420
Damodar	Downstream	8.6	2.2	9.4	170	1190
Musi	Upstream	7.1	5.8	3.1	50	610
Musi	Downstream	6.4	1.5	17.2	210	1480
Noyyal	Upstream	7.6	6.8	2.4	40	390
Noyyal	Downstream	9.1	1.8	11.5	330	1525

Biological Impacts

- **Biodiversity Loss**: Fish population decline was reported in all three rivers, with visible disappearance of native species. Algal blooms, caused by excess nutrients and chemical runoff, further disrupted aquatic ecosystems.
- **Soil and Agriculture**: Farmers irrigating fields with river water observed reduced soil fertility, crop yellowing, and abnormal plant growth. Accumulation of toxins in crops poses long-term health risks.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

Table 2: Aquatic Biodiversity Loss Observed Across Case Study Rivers

River Name	No. of Native Fish Species (Before 2000)	No. of Species (Now)	Invasive Species Presence	Algal Bloom Incidents (Annual)
Damodar	24	8	Yes	2-3
Musi	18	5	Yes	5-6
Noyyal	21	6	No	4-5

Human Health Concerns

- **Waterborne Diseases**: Increased cases of skin infections, gastrointestinal issues, and respiratory problems were reported in communities using river water for domestic purposes.
- **Bioaccumulation Risks**: Continuous exposure to heavy metals through contaminated water and crops contributes to chronic diseases, including kidney disorders and cancer.

DISCUSSION

Industrial Responsibility and Lax Regulation

Industrial sectors are legally obligated to treat their waste before discharge under the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, and various Environment Protection Rules**,** yet ground realities reveal widespread non-compliance. In many industrial zones, especially near the rivers studied Damodar, Musi, and Noyyal factories either operate without functional Effluent Treatment Plants (ETPs) or discharge waste without pre-treatment**,** violating prescribed norms.

Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs), although introduced as a collective solution for small and medium enterprises, are riddled with shortcomings. Several CETPs are either under capacity, outdated in design, or poorly maintained. In some cases, the treated effluent still exceeds permissible limits for BOD, COD, and heavy metals. Regular maintenance, sludge handling, and chemical dosing—crucial steps for CETP functionality—are often ignored due to cost-cutting or lack of accountability.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

Inspections, when conducted by Pollution Control Boards, are usually pre-informed, giving industries enough time to temporarily improve conditions to pass compliance checks. As a result, pollution continues unchecked, causing cumulative, irreversible damage to river ecosystems and human health. There is also a lack of incentives for industries that comply and no strong deterrent against violators, allowing environmentally harmful practices to persist.

Policy Gaps and Fragmented Governance

Although India has a well-laid framework for environmental protection, implementation remains fragmented due to overlapping roles and unclear mandates between municipal bodies, state pollution control boards (SPCBs), and central regulatory agencies. In practice, this means that:

- Multiple authorities are responsible for monitoring pollution, but there is no centralized accountability.
- Data collected by one agency is not effectively shared with others, leading to duplicate efforts or data silos.
- Monitoring is mostly reactive rather than preventive, initiated only after public complaints or media exposure.

Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA), which are supposed to be comprehensive and pre-emptive, are often seen as procedural formalities. In many instances, EIA reports are outdated, lack scientific rigor, or are prepared without sufficient field investigation.

Real-time water quality monitoring, which could allow timely interventions, is rarely implemented. The few systems that exist are often inactive, uncalibrated, or subject to data manipulation. Local pollution boards often rely on manual sampling at infrequent intervals, making it easy for industries to discharge waste during non-monitoring periods.

Moreover, legal procedures for prosecuting polluting industries are cumbersome and rarely result in conviction or significant penalties. As a result, many factories weigh the cost of fines against the cost of installing proper treatment systems and often choose to pay the fines when caught, further eroding the credibility of environmental governance.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

Community Exclusion

Perhaps the most overlooked aspect of environmental decision-making is the systematic exclusion of local communities, who are the first and most severely affected by river pollution. People living along the Damodar, Musi, and Noyyal rivers often depend on the river water for drinking, bathing, irrigation, and fishing. Yet, their voices are rarely heard during licensing, monitoring, or policy discussions involving polluting industries.

Affected residents are often unaware of the legal limits for water quality and are not informed about effluent discharges occurring near their settlements. There are no mandatory requirements for industries to disclose effluent data to the public, and no grievance redressal mechanism that is easily accessible or trustworthy for rural communities.

Community engagement is often limited to token consultation meetings, where technical jargon and bureaucratic processes alienate ordinary citizens. Those who try to raise awareness or protest face intimidation, legal notices, or suppression by vested interests. Environmental activists and NGOs working in these regions frequently report threats and lack of cooperation from both industry and government officials.

The net result is that environmental injustice prevails pollution continues, while those most affected remain disempowered. Health burdens, loss of livelihood, and degraded ecosystems are disproportionately borne by marginalized communities who have neither the resources nor political clout to demand change.

CASE STUDY SNAPSHOTS

1. Damodar River – Steel and Power Industries

Known as the "Ruhr of India," the Damodar basin is heavily industrialized. Effluents from coal washeries, thermal plants, and steel factories contribute to high levels of heavy metals and organic pollutants. Studies indicate that aquatic life downstream of Dhanbad has nearly vanished.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

2. Musi River – Pharmaceutical Hub of Hyderabad

The Musi River receives untreated effluents from pharmaceutical and chemical units, making it one of the most polluted rivers in India. Studies have shown antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the water, posing serious public health challenges.

3. Noyyal River – Textile Dyeing Units in Tirupur

The dyeing units in Tirupur are infamous for color-laden wastewater, which has rendered large sections of the Noyyal unfit for any use. Farmers downstream have lost livelihoods due to toxic irrigation, and groundwater contamination is widespread.

Table 3: Key Industrial Pollutants and Dominant Industries by River

River Name	Dominant Industrial Type	Major Pollutants	Common Health Impacts
Damodar	Steel, Power, Coal Washing	Heavy metals, ash slurry	Skin rashes, respiratory issues
Musi	Pharma, Chemicals	Antibiotics, solvents, cadmium	Antibiotic resistance, kidney issues
Noyyal	Textile Dyeing	Dyes, salts, chromium	Eye irritation, skin allergies

RECOMMENDATIONS

Stricter Enforcement and Real-Time Monitoring

Regulatory authorities such as the Central and State Pollution Control Boards must move beyond paper-based inspections and adopt digitally enabled, real-time monitoring systems. Installing Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems (CEMS) and Online Effluent Monitoring Systems (OEMS) at industrial discharge outlets will allow for 24/7 surveillance of key pollution parameters like pH, BOD, COD, and heavy metal concentrations.

This data should be transmitted directly to a centralized public dashboard, accessible to pollution control authorities, government agencies, and citizen groups. Automatic alerts must be triggered when parameters exceed permissible limits. In such cases, industries should face swift penalties, including hefty fines, license suspension, or even temporary shutdowns for

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

repeat offenders. This proactive system would deter industries from bypassing treatment and increase institutional transparency.

Mandatory Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD)

To completely eliminate the release of liquid waste into rivers, Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) must be made mandatory, especially for high-polluting sectors such as textile dyeing, tannery operations, pharmaceutical production, and chemical manufacturing. ZLD systems involve the complete treatment and recycling of all wastewater within industrial premises, with only solid residues safely disposed of.

Though the initial investment for ZLD infrastructure can be high, it results in long-term savings by reducing freshwater dependency and meeting environmental compliance effortlessly. The government can facilitate this transition by offering low-interest loans, tax deductions, or technology grants for industries that voluntarily adopt ZLD practices.

Decentralized Treatment Solutions

While Common Effluent Treatment Plants (CETPs) are valuable, they are often located far from small-scale clusters and lack the capacity to treat varied types of waste effectively. Hence, a shift towards decentralized treatment systems is recommended.

These systems involve setting up miniature treatment units within or near industrial clusters and can be designed to suit specific waste profiles—textile dyes, metal processing, or organic sludge. Local municipalities or industry associations can operate these in partnership with technical experts and environmental NGOs. Such an approach not only improves compliance but also allows for community participation and ownership, enhancing accountability at the grassroots level.

Environmental Awareness and Education

An informed and empowered community is essential to sustaining environmental reforms. Environmental education programs should be launched in riverine communities, schools, and public institutions to build awareness about:

- The health risks of polluted water
- Safe water practices

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

- The importance of effluent monitoring and reporting

Workshops, street plays, posters, and vernacular media campaigns can be effective tools in areas with low literacy levels. Displaying real-time water quality data on LED boards at key river locations (bridges, ghats, temples, and fish markets) would make the information publicly visible and create pressure on local polluters.

Moreover, grievance redressal mechanisms should be simplified. Setting up local pollution helplines, mobile apps, or complaint registers in panchayat offices will allow citizens to report illegal discharges or unsafe practices easily.

Incentivizing Clean Technologies

A long-term solution lies in transforming the industrial production process itself by shifting to cleaner, less water intensive and non-toxic technologies. To encourage this shift, the government must introduce:

- Green tax credits for industries that adopt environmentally friendly technologies
- Subsidized procurement schemes for equipment like membrane bioreactors, electrochemical oxidation units, or advanced oxidation processes (AOPs)
- Fast-track clearance and recognition for industries demonstrating exceptional environmental performance.

Industries must also be encouraged to obtain ISO 14001 certification (Environmental Management Systems) and should be ranked through an Environmental Performance Index (EPI) that is updated regularly and made public.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can play a vital role in funding R&D in low-cost, scalable effluent treatment solutions. Colleges, startups, and local entrepreneurs must be brought into the innovation ecosystem to co-create sustainable industrial practices.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

Table 4: Policy Recommendations And Expected Outcomes

Recommendation	Implementation Mode	Expected Environmental Outcome
Real-time monitoring sensors	Online monitoring system	Reduction in illegal discharge
Mandatory Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD)	Legal mandates, subsidies	Elimination of untreated effluent load
Public water quality displays	LED boards at riverbanks	Increased public awareness
Decentralized CETPs	Industry-cluster partnerships	Localized pollution control

CONCLUSION

Industrial pollution remains a major contributor to river water degradation. The findings from this study reinforce the urgent need for proactive pollution control measures, including stringent compliance checks, real-time effluent tracking, and upgrading of effluent treatment plants. Industries must be made accountable through regular audits and transparent reporting mechanisms. Moreover, the paper calls for stronger community engagement and governmental transparency in managing river systems. The long-term health of river ecosystems depends on harmonizing industrial development with environmental safeguards. Policymakers must adopt an evidence-based and region-specific strategy to protect rivers from irreversible damage caused by untreated or partially treated industrial discharges.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmad, M., & Bhatnagar, A. (2021). Industrial pollution and its impact on water quality in the Damodar River. *Journal of Environmental Assessment and Management*, 9(3), 145–159.
2. Bhattacharya, R., & Ghosh, T. (2020). Evaluation of heavy metal contamination in the Damodar River Basin. *Indian Journal of Water and Wastewater Management*, 7(2), 112–124.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved

3. Sharma, V., & Kulkarni, A. (2019). Water quality assessment in Musi River using physicochemical indicators. *International Journal of Environmental Pollution Studies*, 11(1), 88–101.
4. Reddy, P., & Iqbal, J. (2022). Pharmaceutical pollution in the Musi River: Emerging risks to health and environment. *Asian Journal of Water Science*, 10(4), 201–214.
5. Kumar, N., & Priyanka, K. (2020). Assessment of dye effluent impact on Noyyal River water quality. *South India Water Research Review*, 8(2), 133–144.
6. World Health Organization. (2017). *Guidelines for drinking-water quality* (4th ed.). https://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/water-quality/guidelines/en/
7. Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB). (2019). *Annual report on water pollution in Indian rivers*. https://cpcb.nic.in
8. Suresh, R., & Kannan, G. (2021). Water pollution and bioaccumulation in downstream agricultural fields near the Noyyal River. *Journal of Agro-Environmental Studies*, 13(3), 95–107.
9. Mahapatra, D., & Biswas, T. (2018). Industrialization and the Damodar River: A review of historical and ecological consequences. *Eastern India Ecology Journal*, 6(1), 50–61.
10. Gupta, A., & Singh, M. (2020). Water quality degradation due to industrial activities: A case study of Hyderabad's Musi River. *Urban Environment Monitoring Journal*, 9(1), 72–86.
11. Gopalakrishnan, S., & Devi, M. (2019). Fish biodiversity decline due to textile effluents in the Noyyal River. *Indian Aquatic Life Research*, 7(4), 211–223.

Page 75-87 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2025. All Rights Reserved