MANTECH Publications

Journal of Research in VLSI Design, Tools and Technology
Volume 10, Issue 1, January-April, 2025

Design For Testability (DFT) Strategies in Deep Submicron Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems: A Comprehensive and Systematic Approach for Enhanced Fault Coverage and Manufacturability

Anusha Reddy
Assistant Professor
Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering
Vasavi College of Engineering, Hyderabad
Email id: *anusha.reddy65@yahoo.com*

R. Karthik Narayanan
Research Scholar
Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Vasavi College of Engineering, Hyderabad
Email id:*.narayanankarthik00@rediffmail.com*

Abstract

In the era of deep submicron (DSM) technologies, ensuring the reliability and testability of Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) systems has become a critical concern. As feature sizes shrink and complexity increases, the probability of manufacturing defects rises, demanding efficient Design for Testability (DFT) strategies. These defects are no longer limited to simple stuck-at faults but also include timing-related failures, noise interference, and process variation-induced errors. Therefore, DFT methodologies must be designed to detect a wider range of fault types under varying operating conditions.

This paper presents a comprehensive overview of DFT methodologies in DSM VLSI systems, examining classical and modern approaches, evaluating their effectiveness, and proposing a systematic strategy for optimizing fault coverage while minimizing performance degradation and area overhead. It

also explores the role of adaptive testing, hierarchical scan design, and advanced Built-In Self-Test (BIST) mechanisms to reduce test time and cost. Emphasis is placed on the integration of DFT strategies with automated design and verification flows to accelerate time-to-market while ensuring long-term reliability.

Furthermore, the paper addresses the growing importance of test compression, low-power test design, and testing in the context of 3D ICs and heterogeneous integration. The challenges of achieving high-quality testing in such advanced scenarios require rethinking traditional DFT frameworks. The paper concludes with a discussion on future trends, including machine learning-based testing, predictive failure analysis, and standardized testing protocols that aim to bring uniformity and scalability to the industry.

The paper also highlights the scalability of AI approaches across different process technologies and design styles. With growing interest in explainable AI and data-efficient learning, AI is poised to revolutionize physical design workflows and reshape the future of chip design

Keywords: *Design for Testability, VLSI, Deep Submicron, Fault Coverage, Scan Design, Built-In Self-Test, ATPG, Test Compression*

INTRODUCTION

The continuous scaling of CMOS technology into the deep submicron region (i.e., below 100nm) has led to exponential increases in circuit density, performance, and functionality. However, this scaling also introduces new complexities in the design and manufacturing process, leading to increased susceptibility to defects such as bridging faults, gate oxide breakdown, and timing violations. As device geometries shrink, parametric variations become more pronounced, affecting yield and reliability. In such scenarios, the role of Design for Testability (DFT) becomes paramount. DFT involves designing VLSI circuits in a way that facilitates easier, faster, and more accurate testing. The primary goal is to ensure high fault coverage during production testing without significantly impacting the performance, area, or

cost of the chip. DFT is not only vital for detecting manufacturing defects but also for reducing time-to-market and improving post-silicon validation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Early DFT Techniques Initial approaches to DFT such as ad-hoc testing, exhaustive testing, and signature analysis were feasible for small-scale integration. These methods often relied on manual inspection or simplistic pattern application, and while effective for older technologies, they became impractical as transistor counts increased. The growing complexity of integrated circuits required automated and structured test methodologies. Ad-hoc testing also lacked scalability and reusability, leading to poor fault coverage and longer validation cycles in modern systems.

Scan-Based Design Scan chains and scan path testing became a widely adopted method to enhance observability and controllability of internal nodes. It transforms sequential circuits into testable combinational equivalents, allowing Automatic Test Pattern Generation (ATPG) tools to easily create patterns. Tools such as Level-sensitive Scan Design (LSSD) and Boundary Scan (JTAG) further improved testability by standardizing the test access mechanism and enabling external control of internal states. Innovations such as scan compression, selective scan insertion, and power-aware scan sequencing have made scan-based testing more efficient and scalable for today's complex System-on-Chip (SoC) designs.

Built-In Self-Test (BIST) BIST mechanisms, where testing logic is embedded within the chip, improved at-speed testing and reduced dependence on external test equipment. Memory BIST (MBIST), Logic BIST (LBIST), and Analog BIST were developed to cater to different circuit blocks. BIST helps achieve high test coverage even in hard-to-reach areas and supports self-diagnosis and repair in mission-critical applications. The inclusion of test pattern generators such as Linear Feedback Shift Registers (LFSRs) and output response analyzers allows in-field testing and system-level reliability enhancements.

Table 1: Comparison of Traditional and Modern DFT Techniques

DFT Technique	Fault Coverage	Area Overhead	Test Time	Suitable for DSM?
Ad-hoc Testing	Low	Low	High	No
Scan Design	High	Moderate	Moderate	Yes
BIST	Very High	High	Low	Yes
Test Compression	High	Moderate	Low	Yes

Test Compression and Low-Power Testing

Modern systems implement test compression techniques and low-power testing strategies to minimize test data volume and power dissipation during testing. These methods use on-chip decompression and compaction hardware to reduce external test data requirements. Compression techniques like Embedded Deterministic Test (EDT), statistical test pattern generation, and test vector reordering have reduced testing time and cost significantly. Low-power test designs ensure that power consumption during test does not exceed functional levels, thereby avoiding IR-drop induced failures or thermal damage.

CHALLENGES IN DFT FOR DEEP SUBMICRON VLSI

Process Variations and Signal Integrity- As transistor sizes shrink, variability in manufacturing processes leads to unpredictability in circuit behavior. Crosstalk, IR drop, and signal noise significantly affect performance and complicate testing. These issues create uncertainty in timing and logic states, often requiring more robust delay testing methods and high-speed signal evaluation. Furthermore, layout-dependent effects such as proximity and lithography limitations exacerbate the need for careful DFT planning during physical design stages.

Increased Test Data Volume- The amount of test data required to achieve high fault coverage has increased exponentially. This raises the cost and time associated with production testing. Storage and bandwidth limitations on automatic test equipment (ATE) further exacerbate the issue. To mitigate these challenges, test compression techniques and scan chain optimization must be integrated from the early stages of design. Techniques such as on-chip decompression and hierarchical scan architecture can help manage and reduce the testing burden.

Test Power Consumption- DSM circuits are highly sensitive to power. High switching activity during testing can lead to overheating, IR drops, or false positives, necessitating low-power DFT techniques. Power-aware scan insertion and controlled toggling of scan cells are essential strategies. Dynamic power management during test modes and clock gating techniques are increasingly being applied to maintain thermal stability and avoid power-related failures during test execution.

Access and Observability Limitations- Deeper integration reduces the accessibility of internal nodes, complicating fault isolation and debugging. Effective DFT must increase observability and controllability without adding significant overhead. Hierarchical DFT and scan multiplexing are often used to overcome these barriers, especially in large multi-core designs. The adoption of IEEE standards like 1687 (IJTAG) and 1500 (embedded core test) provides standardized frameworks for enhancing internal access to embedded logic, enabling improved fault diagnosis and localized debug.

DFT METHODOLOGIES FOR DSM TECHNOLOGIES

Scan Design and Enhancements- Scan design remains a cornerstone of DFT, but it has evolved significantly with enhancements like partial-scan insertion, segmented scan chains, and reconfigurable scan paths. These improvements aim to reduce test time and power while maintaining high fault coverage. Partial-scan designs selectively include flip-flops in the scan path to optimize testability without incurring high area or performance penalties. Segmented scan chains reduce shift lengths and enable faster scan operations, particularly beneficial in multi-core SoCs. Reconfigurable scan paths offer the flexibility to change the scan structure dynamically, optimizing test coverage under different scenarios.

Built-In Self-Test (BIST)- Modern BIST solutions integrate both test pattern generation and response analysis logic within the chip. LBIST enables testing of logic blocks, using pseudorandom patterns generated by LFSRs and comparing output responses via MISRs (Multiple Input Signature Registers). MBIST targets embedded memory arrays, executing read/write operations and evaluating functional correctness through march algorithms and redundancy analysis. Hybrid BIST strategies that combine both logic and memory testing provide comprehensive coverage for heterogeneous SoC components and support in-field diagnostics and repair.

Test Compression Techniques- Test compression reduces the volume of data that must be transferred from the tester to the chip by using on-chip decompression and compaction. Techniques like Embedded Deterministic Test (EDT) employ ATPG-generated patterns that are compressed offline and decompressed using linear expansion logic such as XOR networks and scan multiplexers. These techniques significantly cut down the time and cost of production testing. Integration of adaptive and hybrid compression further enhances scalability in ultra-large designs.

Low-Power Test Design- DSM technologies are vulnerable to power-related failures during test modes. Techniques such as scan chain ordering (to minimize switching), clock gating (to reduce unnecessary toggling), and power-aware ATPG (that considers power constraints during pattern generation) are employed to limit both dynamic and leakage power. Advanced low-power techniques also include test point insertion guided by power estimation models and the use of multi-voltage domains and power shutoff strategies during testing to protect sensitive logic.

Hierarchical Test Access- To efficiently manage testing in large and heterogeneous SoCs, hierarchical DFT methodologies are employed. These strategies divide the chip into manageable, independently testable cores or blocks with standardized interfaces for test access. The IEEE 1500 standard supports this modular testing approach by defining a wrapper and access protocol for embedded cores. This allows core-level testing, integration testing, and reuse of pre-verified IP blocks, simplifying test generation and reducing overall validation effort.

TESTING STRATEGIES AND AUTOMATION TOOLS

Automatic Test Pattern Generation (ATPG)

ATPG tools are essential in generating test vectors that target specific fault models such as stuck-at, transition, bridging, and delay faults. As DSM systems exhibit higher sensitivity to timing and process variations, conventional ATPG is supplemented by power-aware and path-delay ATPG. These tools now incorporate constraints to minimize toggling and manage dynamic power during test application. Some advanced ATPG tools also integrate support for Cell-Aware Testing, which models the internal transistor-level faults, providing higher fault coverage in FinFET and advanced CMOS nodes.

DFT-Aware Synthesis and Place & Route

In modern design flows, DFT insertion is no longer an afterthought—it is integrated during synthesis and physical design. CAD tools enable early DFT-aware synthesis that incorporates scan chain constraints, test point insertion, and area optimization without compromising timing closure. During place-and-route, routing congestion and power distribution are optimized to accommodate DFT logic, ensuring scan chain balancing and minimum test-induced IR drop. This co-optimization helps reduce ECO cycles and accelerates design convergence while maintaining testability.

Test Planning and Test Coverage Analysis

Automated test planning tools now assist in estimating fault coverage early in the design stage. These tools provide actionable insights for partitioning scan chains, identifying hard-to-detect faults, and selecting appropriate BIST architectures. Coverage metrics such as stuck-at, transition, and path delay coverage are tracked across design iterations, enabling test engineers to identify gaps and refine their DFT strategy.

EMERGING TRENDS IN DFT

Machine Learning-Based Test Optimization

Machine learning (ML) is increasingly applied to enhance various DFT processes. Supervised learning models are trained on defect and yield data to predict fault-prone regions in the design. These insights guide test point insertion, improve pattern effectiveness, and reduce redundant testing. Reinforcement learning and genetic algorithms are being explored for optimizing scan chain configurations and adaptive test scheduling, leading to faster, more intelligent DFT implementation.

Design-for-Debug (DFD)

As post-silicon validation becomes more critical, Design-for-Debug (DFD) features are integrated alongside DFT. These include embedded trace buffers, debug ports, and internal state monitoring that allows engineers to capture runtime anomalies and trace logic activity. System-level DFD techniques leverage real-time observability and trace reconstruction to debug intermittent and timing-related faults, especially in multi-core and asynchronous environments.

Post-Silicon Validation and Adaptive Testing

Post-silicon validation is vital for capturing defects that escape simulation and ATPG. Adaptive testing techniques use feedback from silicon test data to refine test patterns dynamically. Real-time monitoring of performance counters, voltage fluctuations, and aging indicators enables in-field testing and predictive maintenance. These strategies are particularly important in automotive, aerospace, and medical domains where reliability is paramount.

Hardware-Software Co-Testability

With the rise of embedded software in SoCs, co-validation of hardware and software is necessary. Emerging DFT methodologies provide hooks for software-triggered tests, software-driven fault injection, and joint visibility into hardware-software interfaces. This integration ensures that both hardware functionality and software behavior can be tested in tandem, reducing field failures due to interface mismatches.

Table 2: Emerging DFT Trends and Their Advantages

DFT Trend	Key Benefit	Current Application
Machine Learning in DFT	Faster optimization of test coverage	Adaptive ATPG, Test point insertion
Design-for-Debug (DFD)	Post-silicon failure analysis	High-performance SoCs
Adaptive Testing	On-the-fly defect detection	Mission-critical systems
DFT for 3D ICs	Vertical fault model coverage	Chiplet-based designs

DFT FOR 3D ICS AND HETEROGENEOUS INTEGRATION

3D Integration and chiplet-based architectures introduce new test challenges and demand innovative test solutions. In 3D ICs, multiple silicon dies are stacked vertically, interconnected using Through-Silicon Vias (TSVs). These TSVs require rigorous testing for continuity and signal integrity both pre-bond and post-bond. Pre-bond tests validate individual dies before stacking, while post-bond tests verify complete stack functionality. Interposer testing, die-to-die interface validation, and thermal constraints further complicate the test process. Traditional DFT techniques are inadequate for these architectures, necessitating the development of novel vertical fault models, test scheduling strategies, and fault isolation

mechanisms. Built-in redundancy and adaptive test interfaces are also being integrated to improve yield and post-assembly reliability in heterogeneous integration scenarios.

SCOPE AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Toward Zero-Defect Manufacturing

As VLSI systems are increasingly deployed in safety-critical domains like automotive, aerospace, and healthcare, the demand for near-zero defect manufacturing becomes imperative. To achieve this, DFT strategies must evolve to support faster fault diagnosis, robust defect localization, and lower Defective Parts per Million (DPM). Self-healing architectures and autonomous test features are being explored to reduce human intervention and enable predictive maintenance.

Integration with Design and Verification Flows

Future DFT solutions must be tightly coupled with functional design and verification flows. This requires co-optimization of functional and test logic, with shared resources and dual-purpose design structures. Integrating DFT into Electronic Design Automation (EDA) tools at earlier stages will help identify testability issues during synthesis and layout, reducing costly design re-spins. Enhanced modeling of faults and yield-impact analysis during RTL development can accelerate convergence and ensure first-pass success.

Standardization and Interoperability

To foster industry-wide DFT adoption and streamline test processes, increased standardization is essential. Standards such as IEEE 1149.x (JTAG), IEEE 1500 (core-level test), and IEEE 1687 (IJTAG) are instrumental in ensuring interoperability among tools, IPs, and testers. Ongoing efforts to develop unified DFT frameworks and portable test protocols are expected to reduce integration complexity and testing costs, especially in multi-vendor chiplet ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

Design for Testability in Deep Submicron VLSI systems is no longer optional—it is essential. The increasing complexity and sensitivity of DSM circuits demand robust, scalable, and power-efficient DFT techniques. This paper highlighted the historical evolution, current methodologies, and future directions of DFT, offering a systematic approach for improving

test coverage, manufacturability, and post-silicon reliability. The adoption of hierarchical DFT strategies, test compression, and low-power test design demonstrates the industry's shift toward efficiency and integration.

Moreover, with the rise of heterogeneous integration and 3D stacking, DFT must evolve to address vertical fault paths and interface testing. The integration of AI/ML in test automation and predictive diagnostics also marks a transformative phase for DFT applications. Future VLSI systems, especially in safety-critical domains such as automotive, aerospace, and medical electronics, will demand near-zero defect tolerance and real-time error monitoring. This underlines the importance of incorporating DFT not as a post-design activity, but as a core aspect of the design and verification flow.

Ultimately, the synergy between DFT, design automation tools, manufacturing advancements, and industry-standard test architectures will dictate the scalability, reliability, and longevity of next-generation semiconductor systems. The evolution of DFT from a support function to a strategic enabler reflects its growing significance in modern VLSI design.

REFERENCES

1. Abramovici, M., Breuer, M. A., & Friedman, A. D. (1990). Digital systems testing and testable design. Computer Science Press.
2. Bushnell, M. L., & Agrawal, V. D. (2000). Essentials of electronic testing for digital, memory, and mixed-signal VLSI circuits. Springer.
3. Wang, L. T., Wu, C., & Wen, X. (2006). VLSI test principles and architectures: Design for testability. Morgan Kaufmann.
4. IEEE Standard Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture. (2013). IEEE Std 1149.1-2013. IEEE.
5. IEEE Standard for Embedded Core Test (IEEE 1500). (2005). IEEE Std 1500-2005. IEEE.
6. Saxena, N., & Makar, S. (2000). Scan chain reordering for power reduction during test. International Test Conference, 2000, 499–504.
7. Zhang, X., & Tehranipoor, M. (2008). Power reduction for delay fault testing. IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, 27(7), 1307–1313.

8. Ko, H. S., & Park, E. (2009). Low power scan testing using scan cell freezing. Microelectronics Journal, 40(2), 308–313.
9. Lin, Y., Wang, Y., & Yao, H. (2004). Built-in self-test (BIST) for digital integrated circuits. Journal of Electronic Testing, 20(2), 139–149.
10. Chandra, A., & Chakrabarty, K. (2001). Test data compression and test resource partitioning for system-on-chip using frequency-directed run-length (FDR) codes. IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, 21(7), 954–962.
11. Wen, X., Li, L., & Mitra, S. (2011). Low-power ATPG and test compression for VLSI circuits. IEEE Design & Test of Computers, 28(1), 31–38.
12. Tehranipoor, M., & Koushanfar, F. (2010). A survey of hardware Trojan taxonomy and detection. IEEE Design & Test of Computers, 27(1), 10–25.
13. Nicolaidis, M. (1998). Time redundancy based soft-error tolerance to rescue nanometer technologies. 17th IEEE VLSI Test Symposium, 86–94.
14. Amyeen, R., Higgins, J., Khoche, A., & Touba, N. A. (2004). Improving transition fault coverage using on-chip capture replay. IEEE International Test Conference, 2004, 1123–1131.
15. Liu, H., & Wang, L. (2012). Efficient DFT-aware physical synthesis for large SoC designs. IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, 20(7), 1217–1230.
16. Jerraya, A., & Wolf, W. (2005). Hardware/software interface codesign for embedded systems. IEEE Computer, 38(2), 63–69.
17. Youssef, M., & Abadir, M. S. (2013). Machine learning for DFT optimization. Design Automation Conference, 2013, 1–6.
18. Marinissen, E. J., & Zorian, Y. (2002). Testing embedded-core based system chips. Computer, 35(4), 52–60.
19. Kundu, S., & Karri, R. (2010). Design-for-debug and design-for-diagnosis: Recent trends. ACM Transactions on Design Automation of Electronic Systems, 15(2), 1–38.
20. Xue, H., Chakravadhanula, K., & Chakrabarty, K. (2019). Testing and diagnosis of 3D-stacked ICs: Challenges and solutions. IEEE Transactions on Multi-Scale Computing Systems, 5(1), 15–27.