MANTECH Publications
Journal of Remote Sensing, Environmental Science & Geotechnical Engineering
Volume 6, Issue 3, September-December 2021

Integration of Remote Sensing and Geotechnical Modeling for Tunnel Route Planning

Dr. Raghav Sharma
Associate Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
National Institute of Technology, Rourkela
Email: *raghav.sharma@nitrr.ac.in*

Ms. Sneha Patel
Research Scholar
Department of Geotechnical Engineering
National Institute of Technology, Rourkela
Email: *sneha.patel@nitrr.ac.in*

Abstract

Tunnel route planning in complex geological environments requires precise understanding of surface and subsurface conditions to minimize construction risks and costs. The integration of remote sensing data with geotechnical modeling offers a robust approach to analyze terrain, lithology, and soil properties along potential tunnel alignments. This paper reviews the synergy between remote sensing techniques—such as satellite imagery, LiDAR, and InSAR—and geotechnical numerical modeling in tunnel design. The methodology includes multi-source remote sensing data acquisition, geological hazard identification, and input parameter estimation for geotechnical models. A case study in a Himalayan tunnel project demonstrates how remote sensing aided the delineation of fault zones and unstable slopes, improving route selection. A comparative table highlights benefits of this integrated approach over conventional methods. Challenges including data resolution, model uncertainties, and cost implications are discussed. The study concludes that coupling remote sensing with geotechnical modeling significantly enhances tunnel planning, ensuring safer and economical construction in challenging terrains.

Keywords

Remote Sensing, Geotechnical Modeling, Tunnel Route Planning, LiDAR, InSAR, Geological Hazards, Numerical Simulation

Page 68-72 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2021. All Rights Reserved

INTRODUCTION

Tunnel infrastructure is essential for transportation, water conveyance, and energy projects, often requiring excavation through complex geological formations. Tunnel route planning is critical as it directly affects construction safety, cost, and environmental impact. Traditional site investigations involving borehole drilling and surface surveys are limited by accessibility and spatial coverage.

Remote sensing provides extensive spatial data on surface morphology, geological structures, and deformation patterns. When combined with geotechnical numerical modeling—which simulates ground behavior under loads and excavations—engineers can optimize tunnel alignment considering ground stability and hazards.

This paper discusses the integration of remote sensing with geotechnical modeling for tunnel route planning, describing the workflow, key techniques, and applications. A case study demonstrates practical benefits and limitations of this approach.

REMOTE SENSING TECHNIQUES FOR TUNNEL ROUTE PLANNING

Remote sensing methods provide crucial geological and topographical information:

- **Satellite Imagery:** High-resolution multispectral and hyperspectral images help identify lithological units and alteration zones. Sensors such as Sentinel-2 and Landsat provide accessible data.
- **LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging):** LiDAR generates high-accuracy Digital Terrain Models (DTMs) and Digital Surface Models (DSMs), revealing micro-topographic features like faults, fractures, and landslides relevant to tunnel safety.
- **InSAR (Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar):** InSAR detects ground deformation and subsidence over time, highlighting active faults or unstable slopes that must be avoided in tunnel routing.
- **Aerial Photogrammetry and UAV Imaging:** UAVs offer flexible, high-resolution data for areas with cloud cover or limited satellite visibility.

GEOTECHNICAL MODELING FOR TUNNEL DESIGN

Geotechnical modeling predicts ground behavior during tunnel excavation. Numerical methods like Finite Element Method (FEM) and Discrete Element Method (DEM) are commonly used.

Page 68-72 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2021. All Rights Reserved

- **Input Parameters:** Material properties (strength, stiffness, permeability) are derived from field/lab tests and remote sensing interpretations (e.g., fractured zones identified via LiDAR).
- **Simulation Goals:** Stress distribution, deformation patterns, potential failure mechanisms, and groundwater inflow around tunnel alignments are analyzed.
- **Optimization:** Models evaluate alternate routes or support systems to reduce risks and costs.

INTEGRATION METHODOLOGY

The integration follows these steps:

1. **Data Acquisition:** Collect multisource remote sensing data and geological information.
2. **Geological Interpretation:** Map lithology, faults, and hazards using satellite images, LiDAR, and InSAR.
3. **Input Parameter Estimation:** Translate remote sensing observations into geotechnical model parameters (e.g., weakened zones from InSAR displacement).
4. **Numerical Simulation:** Run geotechnical models on proposed routes to assess stability.
5. **Decision-Making:** Use simulation outcomes combined with remote sensing maps to select optimal tunnel alignment.

CASE STUDY: HIMALAYAN TUNNEL PROJECT

The selected tunnel project traverses a geologically complex Himalayan terrain with frequent seismicity and landslide occurrence.

- **Remote Sensing Application:** Sentinel-2 imagery identified alteration zones; LiDAR revealed steep slopes and lineaments; InSAR data indicated subsidence near fault lines.
- **Geotechnical Modeling:** FEM simulations evaluated ground stress and deformation for three alternative routes.
- **Results:** Route 2, avoiding subsidence and fault zones identified from remote sensing, showed lowest predicted ground movement and failure risk.
- **Outcome:** Integration enabled safer, cost-effective tunnel alignment, minimizing construction challenges.

BENEFITS OF INTEGRATED APPROACH

Page 68-72 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2021. All Rights Reserved

Aspect	Traditional Method	Integrated Remote Sensing & Geotechnical Modeling
Spatial Coverage	Limited to boreholes and surface surveys	Large area coverage with continuous spatial data
Hazard Detection	Limited to field observations	Early identification of hidden faults, deformation zones
Data Resolution	Point-based samples	High-resolution terrain and deformation data
Cost and Time	Expensive and time-consuming	Reduced field visits; faster preliminary assessment
Risk Reduction	Reactive risk management	Proactive optimization of route and design

Table 1: Comparison of Traditional and Integrated Tunnel Planning Approaches

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite the advantages, integration faces some challenges:

- **Data Resolution and Availability:** Satellite and InSAR data may lack sufficient resolution for detailed site-specific analysis. Cloud cover can hinder optical data collection.
- **Model Uncertainties:** Numerical models depend heavily on input data quality and assumptions; errors in geotechnical parameters affect reliability.
- **Cost and Expertise:** Remote sensing data processing and modeling require specialized software and skilled personnel.
- **Regulatory and Environmental Constraints:** Data acquisition (especially UAV flights) may be restricted by local regulations.

CONCLUSION

The integration of remote sensing with geotechnical modeling offers a comprehensive framework for tunnel route planning in complex geological settings. Remote sensing provides extensive spatial data on geological structures and ground deformation, while geotechnical models simulate subsurface responses to tunneling. Together, they enable informed decision-making, optimizing tunnel alignment for safety and cost efficiency.

Page 68-72 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2021. All Rights Reserved

Future improvements in sensor resolution, data fusion techniques, and machine learning-based interpretation will further enhance this approach's accuracy and usability. Adoption of integrated methodologies will contribute significantly to resilient infrastructure development in challenging terrains.

REFERENCES

[1] Li, Z., et al., "Remote Sensing and Geotechnical Modeling Integration for Tunnel Engineering," *Engineering Geology*, vol. 225, pp. 37-47, 2017.
[2] Singh, A., and Kumar, P., "Use of LiDAR and InSAR Data for Tunnel Route Selection," *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, vol. 26, no. 1, 2020.
[3] Chen, B., et al., "Numerical Simulation in Geotechnical Tunnel Design," *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, vol. 85, pp. 72-85, 2019.
[4] Wang, H., and Zhang, L., "Satellite Remote Sensing for Geological Hazard Identification," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 11, no. 14, 2019.
[5] Patel, S., et al., "Application of UAV Photogrammetry in Geotechnical Investigations," *International Journal of Geomatics and Geosciences*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2019.
[6] Rosales, M., and Taylor, R., "Ground Deformation Monitoring Using InSAR," *Geophysical Research Letters*, vol. 44, no. 21, 2017.
[7] Li, Y., et al., "Geotechnical Model Validation with Remote Sensing Data," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 118, 2020.
[8] Gupta, R., and Sharma, R., "Challenges in Integrating Remote Sensing with Tunnel Engineering," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2020.

Page 68-72 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2021. All Rights Reserved