MANTECH Publications
Journal of Remote Sensing, Environmental Science & Geotechnical Engineering
Volume 6, Issue 2, May-August 2021

Assessment of Soil Moisture Variability Using Microwave Remote Sensing For Geotechnical Planning

Dr. Anjali Rao
Assistant Professor
Department of Civil Engineering
National Institute of Technology, Nagpur
Email: *anjali.rao@nitnagpur.edu*

Mr. Vikram Singh
Research Scholar
Department of Remote Sensing
National Institute of Technology, Nagpur
Email: *vikram.singh@nitnagpur.edu*

Abstract

Soil moisture is a critical parameter influencing the mechanical behavior and stability of soils in geotechnical engineering. Accurate assessment of soil moisture variability aids in better foundation design, slope stability analysis, and mitigation of geohazards. Microwave remote sensing has emerged as a reliable technique for large-scale and non-invasive soil moisture estimation due to its sensitivity to surface dielectric properties. This paper reviews the principles of microwave remote sensing for soil moisture assessment, comparing active and passive sensor technologies. Key satellite missions such as SMAP, Sentinel-1, and AMSR-E are evaluated for their applicability in geotechnical planning. A detailed table summarizing sensor characteristics and soil moisture retrieval accuracy is presented. Methods of integrating remote sensing data with ground measurements and geotechnical models for site-specific analysis are discussed. Case studies highlight successful applications in diverse terrain conditions. Challenges including signal noise, vegetation effects, and spatial resolution limitations are *addressed. The paper concludes that microwave remote sensing significantly enhances soil moisture characterization, enabling informed geotechnical decision-making and risk reduction. </i>*

Keywords: *Soil Moisture, Microwave Remote Sensing, Geotechnical Planning, SMAP, Sentinel-1, Active and Passive Sensors*

INTRODUCTION

Soil moisture plays a vital role in determining soil strength, permeability, and compressibility—key parameters for geotechnical engineering. Variability in soil moisture impacts foundation stability, slope failure risks, and the design of earthworks. Traditional in-situ soil moisture measurement methods are accurate but spatially limited and labor-intensive. To overcome these limitations, microwave remote sensing provides a cost-effective and spatially extensive alternative.

Microwave signals penetrate the soil surface and respond to variations in dielectric constant, which is primarily influenced by moisture content. Both active radar and passive radiometer sensors have been used to estimate soil moisture at various scales. The data obtained can be integrated with geotechnical models to enhance site characterization and planning.

This paper aims to comprehensively assess the use of microwave remote sensing for evaluating soil moisture variability with a focus on applications in geotechnical planning.

MICROWAVE REMOTE SENSING PRINCIPLES FOR SOIL MOISTURE

Microwave remote sensing exploits the interaction of electromagnetic waves with the Earth's surface. Soil moisture affects the dielectric constant of the soil, changing the reflected or emitted microwave signals. Two main sensor types are used:

- **Active Sensors (Radar):** Emit microwaves and measure backscattered signals. Examples include Sentinel-1 SAR. Advantages include high spatial resolution and day/night operation. However, backscatter is affected by surface roughness and vegetation.
- **Passive Sensors (Radiometers):** Measure naturally emitted microwave radiation from the soil. Examples include SMAP and AMSR-E. Radiometers offer direct soil moisture sensitivity but at coarser spatial resolutions.

Combining both sensor types can improve accuracy through data fusion techniques.

SENSOR CHARACTERISTICS AND SUITABILITY FOR GEOTECHNICAL APPLICATIONS

Sensor	Type	Frequency Band	Spatial Resolution	Temporal Resolution	Strengths	Limitations
SMAP	Passive	L-band (1.41 GHz)	9-36 km	2-3 days	High soil moisture sensitivity	Coarse resolution, affected by vegetation
Sentinel-1	Active (SAR)	C-band (5.4 GHz)	10-20 m	6-12 days	High resolution, all-weather, day/night	Sensitive to surface roughness, complex signal interpretation
AMSR-E	Passive	X and C bands	25-50 km	Daily	Long-term data record, global coverage	Low resolution, vegetation effects

Table 1: Characteristics of Key Microwave Remote Sensing Sensors for Soil Moisture Assessment

METHODOLOGY FOR SOIL MOISTURE VARIABILITY ASSESSMENT

The general workflow involves:

1. **Data Acquisition:** Satellite microwave datasets are obtained, preprocessed to remove noise and atmospheric effects.
2. **Soil Moisture Retrieval:** Algorithms such as the Water Cloud Model (WCM) or Machine Learning models estimate soil moisture from microwave signatures.
3. **Validation:** Ground truth data from in-situ sensors or gravimetric sampling validate satellite estimates.
4. **Integration with Geotechnical Models:** Soil moisture maps are overlaid with soil type, slope, and geological data for site-specific planning.

CASE STUDIES

Case Study 1: Foundation Stability Assessment in Maharashtra, India

Sentinel-1 SAR data were used to monitor soil moisture changes over a construction site with expansive clays. The high spatial resolution enabled detection of moisture hotspots affecting soil swelling potential. Integration with standard penetration test (SPT) data helped optimize foundation design, reducing risks of differential settlement.

Case Study 2: Slope Stability Monitoring in Himalayan Foothills

SMAP L-band radiometer data captured seasonal soil moisture variability in a landslide-prone hilly terrain. Coupled with rainfall and slope angle data, the moisture estimates were used to forecast landslide susceptibility during monsoon months, improving early warning capabilities.

TABLE 2: Soil Moisture Variability and Geotechnical Implications

Geotechnical Parameter	Effect of Soil Moisture	Remote Sensing Contribution
Shear Strength	Decreases with increased moisture, increasing failure risk	Spatial moisture maps identify vulnerable zones
Swelling Potential	High in clay soils with moisture variations	Detect moisture changes for foundation design
Permeability	Moist soils have higher permeability affecting drainage	Monitor saturation to guide earthworks
Slope Stability	Saturation reduces stability, causing landslides	Early detection of moisture build-up on slopes

Table 2: Influence of Soil Moisture on Geotechnical Parameters and Role of Remote Sensing

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite advancements, microwave remote sensing has constraints:

- **Vegetation Effects:** Dense canopy attenuates microwave signals, complicating soil moisture retrieval.
- **Surface Roughness:** Alters backscatter signals, leading to errors in radar-based measurements.
- **Spatial Resolution:** Passive sensors' coarse resolution limits utility for small-scale geotechnical sites.
- **Calibration Needs:** Ground truth data essential for algorithm tuning, often scarce in remote regions.

FUTURE TRENDS

Upcoming missions like NASA-ISRO SAR (NISAR) promise enhanced spatial and temporal resolution. Fusion of microwave data with other remote sensing modalities (optical, thermal) and AI-based retrieval methods will improve accuracy. Development of localized soil moisture models integrating satellite data will bolster geotechnical planning and hazard mitigation.

CONCLUSION

Microwave remote sensing offers a transformative approach for assessing soil moisture variability critical to geotechnical engineering. The spatially extensive and non-invasive nature of satellite-based measurements enables better-informed decision-making in foundation design, slope stability assessment, and risk management. Although challenges such as vegetation interference and spatial resolution remain, continuous improvements in sensor technology and data integration methodologies enhance reliability. The integration of microwave remote sensing data with traditional geotechnical investigations significantly benefits planning and disaster mitigation efforts.

REFERENCES

[1] Entekhabi, D., et al., "The Soil Moisture Active Passive (SMAP) Mission," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 98, no. 5, pp. 704-716, 2010.
[2] Wagner, W., et al., "Sentinel-1 for Operational Soil Moisture Monitoring: Early Results," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 135, 2017.
[3] Njoku, E. G., et al., "AMSR-E: Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer for EOS," *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 1-16, 2003.
[4] Jackson, T. J., et al., "Soil Moisture Remote Sensing," *Hydrological Processes*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 2077-2098, 2003.
[5] Rautiainen, K., et al., "Soil Moisture Retrieval with Sentinel-1 SAR: A Comparison of Algorithms," *Remote Sensing of Environment*, vol. 203, pp. 76-88, 2017.
[6] Ulaby, F. T., et al., "Microwave Remote Sensing: Active and Passive," vol. 3, Addison-Wesley, 1986.
[7] Sahoo, P., et al., "Satellite-Based Soil Moisture Retrieval for Geotechnical Applications," *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 1-16, 2019.
[8] Singh, V., Rao, A., "Integrating Remote Sensing and Geotechnical Data for Foundation Planning," *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 472-482, 2020.