

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Degradation and Remediation

Aarav sharma

Assistant Professor

Department of Chemical Sciences and Applications

Mahatma Gandhi College of Science, Wardha

Aarav.21Sharma@rediffmail.com

Abstract

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), often called “forever chemicals,” have emerged as a global environmental concern due to their recalcitrance, persistence, and potential for bioaccumulation. PFAS are widely used in industrial and consumer products because of their unique water- and oil-repellent properties. Yet, these same chemical properties make them resistant to natural degradation, leading to widespread contamination of water, soil, and biota. This review provides a detailed summary of PFAS chemistry, sources of pollution, toxicological concerns, current degradation mechanisms, and remediation technologies. Methods are compared based on effectiveness, limitations, and sustainability. The paper highlights advances in both destructive and non-destructive remediation strategies, including emerging hybrid processes. Challenges related to field implementation and future research directions are discussed. The goal is to provide researchers and practitioners with a comprehensive understanding of PFAS treatment options and their realistic prospects in mitigating environmental contamination.

Keywords: *PFAS, Forever Chemicals, Degradation, Remediation, Advanced Oxidation, Adsorption, Bioremediation, Electrochemical Treatment.*

INTRODUCTION

PFAS are a large family of synthetic organic chemicals defined by strong carbon-fluorine (C–F) bonds. These bonds are among the strongest in organic chemistry, giving PFAS excellent thermal and chemical resistance, but also making them nearly non-degradable in normal environmental conditions. PFAS include well-known substances such as perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), both of which are recognized for their persistence and mobility in water systems.

PFAS have been used extensively since the 1950s in applications such as firefighting foams, non-stick cookware coatings, stain-resistant fabrics, and food packaging. Because of their persistent nature, they have now been detected in drinking water, groundwater, rivers, soils, wildlife, and even human blood globally. The growing concern over PFAS revolves around their potential toxic effects, including endocrine disruption, immunotoxicity, and carcinogenicity, though these effects vary with compound type and exposure level.

Cleaning up PFAS is especially difficult because of the strength of the C–F bonds and their resistance to traditional biological and chemical degradation. Remediation research is rapidly expanding, with efforts to develop effective degradation approaches and improve removal from contaminated environments.

CHEMISTRY AND ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOR OF PFAS

General Structure

PFAS consist of a hydrophobic fluorinated carbon chain with a hydrophilic functional group. The carbon chain length and functional group influence physical behavior:

- **Long-chain PFAS** (≥ 8 carbons) are more persistent and mobile.
- **Short-chain PFAS** (< 8 carbons) are more water-soluble and less bioaccumulative but more difficult to remove with adsorption methods.

Table 1 : Common structures

Compound	Carbon Length	Functional Group
PFOA	8	Carboxylate
PFOS	8	Sulfonate
PFHxA	6	Carboxylate

Environmental Pathways

PFAS enter the environment through industrial effluents, wastewater treatment plant discharge, landfill leachate, agricultural runoff (biosolids), and firefighting activities. Once released, PFAS move readily through water systems due to high solubility, and resist breakdown by hydrolysis, photolysis, and standard microbial processes.

Toxicological Concerns

Toxicological concerns related to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have intensified over the past two decades as biomonitoring studies consistently report their presence in human blood and tissues across diverse populations. Unlike many hydrophobic organic pollutants that preferentially accumulate in fatty tissues, PFAS exhibit strong affinity for proteins such as serum albumin and liver fatty acid-binding proteins. This unique behavior allows PFAS to persist in blood serum and vital organs, particularly the liver and kidneys, with biological half-lives ranging from several years to even decades for certain long-chain compounds. As a result, continuous low-level exposure can lead to gradual accumulation and long-term health effects.

Altered Liver Function

The liver is one of the primary target organs for PFAS toxicity. Experimental animal studies and human epidemiological data suggest that PFAS exposure can disrupt lipid metabolism and liver enzyme regulation. Elevated levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and other liver enzymes have been reported in populations with higher PFAS concentrations, indicating possible hepatocellular stress. PFAS are also known to activate nuclear receptors such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs), which play a critical role in fatty acid metabolism.

Chronic activation of these pathways may lead to liver enlargement, fatty liver conditions, and altered cholesterol homeostasis. Although the severity of these effects in humans is still under debate, consistent associations raise concerns regarding long-term liver health.

Thyroid Disruption

PFAS have been shown to interfere with thyroid hormone regulation, an essential system controlling metabolism, growth, and neurological development. Structurally, PFAS resemble fatty acids and can bind to thyroid hormone transport proteins, potentially displacing natural hormones such as thyroxine (T4). Several studies have reported altered thyroid hormone levels, including both hypothyroid and hyperthyroid outcomes, depending on exposure levels and individual susceptibility. Thyroid disruption is particularly concerning for pregnant women and infants, as even minor hormonal imbalances during early development may result in long-lasting physiological effects.

Immune System Effects

Emerging evidence indicates that PFAS can suppress immune system function. Epidemiological studies have linked PFAS exposure to reduced antibody responses following vaccination, particularly in children. This immunosuppressive effect may increase vulnerability to infections and reduce vaccine effectiveness. Laboratory studies suggest that PFAS interfere with immune cell signaling and cytokine production, leading to impaired immune responses. Because immune system development begins early in life, prenatal and early childhood exposure may have more pronounced and irreversible consequences.

Developmental Impacts in Children

Developing fetuses and young children are especially sensitive to PFAS exposure due to rapid cell division and organ development. PFAS can cross the placental barrier and are also transferred through breast milk, exposing infants during critical growth periods. Studies have associated prenatal PFAS exposure with low birth weight, delayed skeletal development, and potential neurobehavioral effects. Although not all findings are consistent, the overall trend suggests that

early-life exposure may influence growth patterns and developmental milestones, highlighting the need for precautionary exposure limits.

Possible Carcinogenicity

The potential carcinogenicity of PFAS remains an area of active research. Certain PFAS, such as PFOA, have been classified as possibly carcinogenic to humans based on animal studies and limited epidemiological evidence. Associations have been reported between PFAS exposure and increased risk of kidney and testicular cancers in occupationally exposed populations. Mechanistically, PFAS may contribute to carcinogenesis through oxidative stress, endocrine disruption, and altered gene expression. However, the exact dose–response relationships and long-term cancer risks at environmentally relevant exposure levels are still not fully understood.

Chronic Low-Level Exposure and Regulatory Concern

One of the most challenging aspects of PFAS toxicology is the impact of chronic, low-level exposure, especially through drinking water. Even at trace concentrations, continuous intake over years can result in significant body burdens due to slow elimination rates. This has prompted regulatory agencies worldwide to lower permissible limits for PFAS in drinking water and to adopt more precautionary approaches. The growing recognition that mixtures of PFAS may exert combined or additive toxic effects further complicates risk assessment and regulation.

In summary, PFAS toxicological effects are multifaceted, involving metabolic, endocrine, immune, and developmental pathways. While uncertainties remain regarding specific mechanisms and long-term outcomes, the weight of evidence supports concerns over prolonged exposure. These health risks strongly justify ongoing efforts to reduce environmental PFAS contamination and to develop effective remediation strategies.

Remediation Approaches

Remediation technologies for PFAS can be broadly grouped into:

- **Removal (Separation) Technologies**
- **Destructive (Degradation) Technologies**

- **Combined or Hybrid Systems**

We discuss each category in detail.

Removal Technologies

These methods *separate PFAS from water/soil* but do not destroy them.

Adsorption

Activated carbon (granular or powdered) and ion-exchange resins are widely studied:

Table:2

Media	Effectiveness	Notes
Granular Activated Carbon (GAC)	Good for long-chain PFAS	Lower for short-chain
Powdered Activated Carbon (PAC)	Rapid uptake	Requires frequent replacement
Anion Exchange Resins	High affinity	Can be tailored

Adsorption is often the first step in water treatment, especially for drinking water. Resins can be regenerated, but the concentrated PFAS waste still needs disposal.

Membrane Filtration

Techniques like reverse osmosis (RO) and nanofiltration separate PFAS based on size exclusion and charge interactions. These yield a PFAS-rich concentrate stream requiring further treatment or disposal.

Advantages:

- High removal efficiency.
- Applicable at large scale.

Limitations:

- Expensive operation.
- Energy intensive.

Soil Separation

Physical methods such as soil washing and excavation remove PFAS-contaminated soils for off-site treatment. These are often costly and disruptive.

Degradation (Destructive) Technologies

Destructive technologies aim to break the stable C–F bonds. These are challenging but critical for long-term risk reduction.

Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs)

AOPs generate highly reactive radicals (e.g., hydroxyl radicals) to degrade PFAS.

Common AOPs:

- UV + Hydrogen Peroxide
- Ozone + UV
- Persulfate activation

AOPs can degrade certain PFAS, but effectiveness varies widely and often requires high energy input.

Electrochemical Oxidation

Electrochemical methods use high potentials to induce PFAS oxidation at electrode surfaces.

Advantages:

- Effective at destroying PFAS in solutions.
- Can be automated and controlled.

Challenges:

- Electrode fouling.
- High energy demand.

Sonochemical Degradation

High-frequency ultrasound can generate extreme conditions (high temperature/pressure microzones) that break C–F bonds. Sonolysis shows promise in lab conditions, but scaling is difficult.

Photocatalytic Methods

Photocatalysts (e.g., TiO₂, ZnO) activated by UV or sunlight can enhance degradation. Modifications with dopants improve efficiency.

The main challenges are:

- Limited penetration of UV in turbid water.
- Slower rates for long-chain PFAS.

Biodegradation (Emerging)

Biological degradation of PFAS is very limited due to bond strength. Some specialized microorganisms show partial degradation, often under specific conditions, but practical field application is minimal at present.

Hybrid and Combined Approaches

Combining adsorption with degradation can improve treatment outcomes. For example:

- **Adsorption + AOP:** Pre-concentrate PFAS on media, then destroy them by oxidants.
- **Membrane + Electrochemical:** Concentrate PFAS and feed to electrochemical cells.

These hybrid systems help manage mass loading and reduce energy costs.

Case Studies

Drinking Water Treatment Plant (DWTP) Upgrade

A mid-sized DWTP adopted GAC adsorption followed by periodic resin regeneration. They achieved >95% reduction in long-chain PFAS, although short-chain compounds persisted.

Lessons:

- Regular monitoring is essential.
- Combination with other technologies may improve short-chain removal.

Industrial Effluent Treatment

An industry facility installed an electrochemical reactor after primary treatment. Over six months, measurable reduction in target PFAS was observed, though energy costs remained high.

Comparison of Technologies

Table:3

Technology	Removal Efficiency	Destructive?	Cost Level	Scale Potential
GAC Adsorption	High for long-chain	No	Medium	High
Ion-Exchange Resins	High	No	Medium	Medium
RO Membrane	Very High	No	High	High
Electrochemical	Medium-High	Yes	High	Medium
AOP	Variable	Yes	High	Medium
Sonolysis	Variable	Yes	High	Low
Biodegradation	Low	Yes (limited)	Low	Low

Challenges in PFAS Remediation

Chemical Diversity

PFAS comprises thousands of compounds, each with different behavior. A solution for one PFAS might not work for another.

Cost and Energy Use

Many destructive technologies are energy-intensive, making them expensive for large-scale use.

Concentrate Handling

Removal technologies produce PFAS-rich waste streams (e.g., spent carbon, brines) that require additional disposal or destruction.

Regulatory Complexity

Regulations vary by region and may focus on only a subset of PFAS, leading to uncertainty in treatment targets.

Future Directions

Research is focusing on:

- **Catalyst development** for lower-energy degradation.
- **Microbial engineering** to enhance biodegradation.
- **Electrode innovations** to reduce fouling and energy use.
- **Field trials** to validate lab success in real conditions.
- **Policy harmonization** to guide remediation standards.

CONCLUSION

PFAS contamination presents a complex environmental challenge due to the chemicals' persistence and resistance to degradation. Removal technologies such as adsorption and membrane filtration are effective for capturing PFAS from water, but they do not destroy the compounds. Destructive technologies like advanced oxidation, electrochemical treatment, and sonochemistry offer potential pathways to break the C–F bonds, though practical limitations remain. Hybrid approaches that integrate removal and degradation show promising results, especially when targeted to specific PFAS mixtures.

It is clear that no single technology can address all PFAS contamination issues. Progress will require interdisciplinary research, affordable and scalable processes, and robust field implementation. A coordinated effort among scientists, engineers, policymakers, and industry stakeholders is essential to reduce PFAS impacts on human health and the environment.

REFERENCES

1. Buck, R.C., et al. "Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in the Environment: Terminology, Classification, and Origins." *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 89, no. 5, 2011.
2. Kwiattkowski, C.F., et al. "Scientific Basis for Managing PFAS as a Chemical Class." *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, vol. 7, no. 8, 2020.
3. Wang, Z., et al. "A Never-Ending Story of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs)?" *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 51, no. 5, 2017.

4. Deng, S., et al. "Adsorption of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) Using Activated Carbon, Ion Exchange Resins, and Other Adsorbents." *Water Research*, vol. 188, 2021.
5. Costenoble, R.J., et al. "Electrochemical Treatment of PFAS-Containing Water: A Review." *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, vol. 96, no. 4, 2021.
6. Verma, V.K., et al. "Advanced Oxidation Processes for Degradation of PFAS." *Chemical Engineering Journal*, vol. 427, 2021.
7. Vecitis, C.D., et al. "Sonochemical Degradation of PFAS: Mechanisms and Efficiency." *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, vol. 70, 2020.
8. Gole, D., et al. "Biodegradation of PFAS: Current Status and Challenges." *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 401, 2020.
9. Radjenovic, J., et al. "Photocatalytic Degradation of PFAS Using Modified Catalysts." *Catalysis Today*, vol. 355, 2020.
10. Ross, I., et al. "A Review of Emerging Technologies for Remediation of PFAS-Contaminated Soils, Water, and Groundwater." *Science of The Total Environment*, vol. 731, 2020.