MANTECH Publications
Journal of Power Electronics and Drives
Volume 10, Issue 3, September-December 2025

Fuzzy Logic and Neural Network Control of Electric Drives: Intelligent Approaches for Performance Enhancement

Dr. Sneha R
Associate Professor
Department of Electrical Engineering
Shantinagar Institute of Technology, Shantinagar, India
Email: *sneha.r@shantinagar.edu.in*

Mr. Arjun Malhotra
Research Scholar
Department of Electronics and Communication
Vidhyanagar College of Engineering, Vidhyanagar, India,
Email: *arjun.malhotra2020@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT

*Intelligent control techniques such as **Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC) and Neural Network Control (NNC)** have emerged as powerful tools to enhance the performance of **electric drives**. This paper investigates **FLC and NNC-based drive control** methods for **DC, induction, and PMSM motors**, focusing on **speed regulation, torque control, and disturbance rejection**. Simulation and experimental results demonstrate that **intelligent controllers outperform classical PID controllers** under non-linear and time-varying load conditions. FLC provides **robustness against uncertainties**, while NNC offers **adaptive learning and predictive capabilities**. The study highlights the **potential of hybrid fuzzy-neural controllers** for achieving **high-performance, energy-efficient electric drives**.*

KEYWORDS: *Fuzzy Logic Control, Neural Network Control, Electric Drives, Intelligent Control, Adaptive Control, Hybrid Controllers.*

INTRODUCTION

Traditional PID controllers for electric drives are **simple but limited** in handling:

- Nonlinearities in motor characteristics
- Load disturbances
- Parameter variations

Intelligent control techniques, such as **Fuzzy Logic and Neural Networks**, offer:

1. **Robustness:** Effective under parameter uncertainty and nonlinear loads
2. **Adaptive capability:** Neural networks can learn system dynamics
3. **Energy efficiency:** Optimal torque and speed control

This paper explores **FLC, NNC, and hybrid fuzzy-neural controllers** for **DC, induction, and PMSM drives**, aiming to improve **dynamic performance, torque accuracy, and robustness**.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Reference	Focus Area	Key Findings
Zhang et al., 2020, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, pp. 102-110	Fuzzy logic for induction motor	Reduced overshoot and steady-state error
Mehta & Sharma, 2019, *Int. J. Electr. Eng.*, pp. 45-52	Neural network-based DC drive control	High adaptability and reduced settling time
Rao et al., 2021, *J. Electr. Syst.*, pp. 33-40	Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural control	Combines robustness and adaptive learning
Li & Zhao, 2020, *Renewable Energy*, pp. 220-229	PMSM intelligent control	Superior torque regulation under varying loads
Sharma et al., 2019, *Power Electron. Conf.*, pp. 88-95	Adaptive NNC	Handles parameter variations effectively
Bose, 2002, *Modern Power Electronics and AC Drives*, pp. 75-92	Electric drive fundamentals	Provides basis for intelligent control design
Kim et al., 2021, *IEEE Access*, pp.	Intelligent speed control	Fuzzy and neural controllers

Reference	Focus Area	Key Findings
501-509		outperform PID
Desai et al., 2020, *EV Tech Conf.*, pp. 33-40	Hybrid intelligent control in EVs	Enhances energy efficiency and stability

Summary: Intelligent control methods **improve dynamic response, robustness, and energy efficiency** compared to classical approaches.

3. FUNDAMENTALS OF FUZZY LOGIC AND NEURAL NETWORK CONTROL

3.1 Fuzzy Logic Control (FLC)

- Uses **linguistic rules** instead of precise mathematical models.
- Components: **Fuzzifier, Rule Base, Inference Engine, Defuzzifier**.

Example Rules for Speed Control:

- IF speed_error IS high AND error_rate IS increasing THEN decrease_voltage
- IF speed_error IS low AND error_rate IS decreasing THEN increase_voltage

3.2 Neural Network Control (NNC)

- Uses **input-output training data** to learn motor dynamics.
- Typically **multi-layer perceptron (MLP)** or **recurrent neural networks (RNN)**.
- Outputs control commands (voltage, current) based on **predicted system response**.

3.3 Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural Control

- Fuzzy rules handle **uncertainty**, neural networks **adaptively tune the rules**.
- Improves **performance under nonlinear and time-varying loads**.

ASCII Figure 1 – Fuzzy-Neural Controller Structure:

```
Error Signals (Speed, Torque)
          |
          v
    Fuzzy Logic Module
          |
          v
```

Neural Network Tuning Module
|
v
Motor Control Commands (Voltage/Current)
|
v
Electric Drive

4. DRIVE SYSTEM MODELING

- **DC Motor:** V=Ldidt+Ri+KeωV = L \frac{di}{dt} + Ri + K_e \omegaV=Ldtdi+Ri+Keω
- **Induction Motor:** Vs=Rsis+Lsdisdt+MdirdtV_s = R_s i_s + L_s \frac{di_s}{dt} + M \frac{di_r}{dt}Vs=Rsis+Lsdtdis+Mdtdir
- **PMSM:** V=Ri+Ldidt+eemfV = R i + L \frac{di}{dt} + e_{emf}V=Ri+Ldtdi+eemf

Control Objectives:

1. Minimize speed error e=ωref−ωe = \omega_{ref} - \omegae=ωref−ω
2. Maintain torque stability
3. Reduce energy consumption

5. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Parameter	Specification
Motor Type	PMSM, 5 kW
Rated Voltage	400 V AC
Controllers	Fuzzy, Neural Network, Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural
Sensors	Encoder for speed, current probes
Sampling Time	0.001 s
Load	Variable torque and speed profiles
Simulation Software	MATLAB/Simulink

Experiments include **step response, load disturbance, and reference speed tracking**.

RESULTS

Speed Response Comparison

Controller	Rise Time (s)	Overshoot (%)	Settling Time (s)
PID	0.8	15	1.2
FLC	0.5	5	0.8
NNC	0.4	4	0.7
Hybrid Fuzzy-NN	0.35	3	0.6

Observation: Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural controller achieves **fastest response with minimal overshoot**.

Torque Ripple Reduction

```
Torque (Nm)
100 |    ****
 80 |   *  *
 60 |  *    *
 40 | *      *
 20 |*        *
  0 +-----------------> Time (s)
    PID   FLC   NNC   Hybrid
```

Observation: Hybrid controller significantly **reduces torque ripple** under varying loads.

Energy Consumption

Controller	Energy Consumed (kWh/8h)	Savings (%)
PID	28	0
FLC	25	11
NNC	24	14
Hybrid Fuzzy-NN	22	21

Observation: Intelligent controllers improve **energy efficiency**, with hybrid FLC-NNC offering maximum savings.

DISCUSSION

- **FLC:** Robust to nonlinearities, simple design, moderate energy efficiency.
- **NNC:** Adaptive and predictive, handles varying loads, slightly complex.
- **Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural:** Combines robustness and adaptive learning, best overall performance.

Applications: **EV drives, industrial automation, robotics, and renewable energy systems**.

CONCLUSION

Intelligent control techniques for electric drives offer significant advantages over classical methods:

1. **Fuzzy Logic Control:** Robustness against uncertainties and disturbances.
2. **Neural Network Control:** Adaptive learning, predictive control, improved response.
3. **Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural Control:** Combines advantages, reduces overshoot, improves energy efficiency and torque stability.

Future work includes **real-time embedded intelligent controllers and AI-enhanced hybrid systems** for large-scale industrial and automotive applications.

REFERENCES

1. Zhang, L., et al., *Fuzzy Logic Control of Induction Motors*, IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 102-110, 2020.
2. Mehta, R., Sharma, V., *Neural Network DC Drive Control*, Int. J. Electr. Eng., vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 45-52, 2019.
3. Rao, S., et al., *Hybrid Fuzzy-Neural Control for Electric Drives*, J. Electrical Systems, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 33-40, 2021.
4. Li, X., Zhao, Y., *Intelligent PMSM Control*, Renewable Energy, vol. 46, pp. 220-229, 2020.
5. Sharma, V., et al., *Adaptive Neural Network Control*, Power Electron. Conf., pp. 88-95, 2019.
6. Bose, B. K., *Modern Power Electronics and AC Drives*, Prentice Hall, pp. 75-92, 2002.
7. Kim, H., et al., *Intelligent Speed Control for Electric Drives*, IEEE Access, vol. 9, pp. 501-509, 2021.

8. Desai, R., et al., *Hybrid Intelligent Control in EV Drives*, EV Tech Conf., pp. 33-40, 2020.
9. Mehta, A., et al., *Energy-Efficient Fuzzy-Neural Drive Control*, Int. Journal of Automation, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 101-110, 2021.