MANTECH Publications

Journal of Homeopathic Therapeutics
Volume 2, Issue 1, January-June 2025

Dose-Response Dynamics in Homeopathic Remedies: Exploring Minimum Dose Paradigms

Dr. Priyanka Mandal
Assistant Professor
Department of Homeopathic Therapeutics
Netai Charan Chakravarty Homoeopathic Medical College & Hospital
Email id:*priyanka.homdoc@rediffmail.com*

ABSTRACT

Homeopathic doctrine posits that ultra-molecular dilutions exert biological action through information transfer rather than bulk pharmacology. This review collates findings from in-vitro, in-vivo, and clinical micro-dosing studies to characterize non-linear dose-response curves, with special attention to low-dose ethanol extracts, centesimal dilutions beyond Avogadro limits, and nanoparticle-rich potencies detected via resonant light scattering. Analysis of cell-culture assays demonstrates biphasic responses in reactive oxygen species modulation, while double-blind clinical trials in seasonal allergic rhinitis reveal statistically significant—but modest—symptom score reductions at 30C versus both placebo and mother tincture arms. The discussion contextualizes these phenomena within hormetic models, quantum coherence domains, and stochastic resonance theory, proposing a unified framework for future pharmacodynamic investigation.

KEYWORDS: *Minimum Dose, Nanoparticles, Hormesis, Ultra-Dilution, Quantum Coherence*

INTRODUCTION

Homeopathy rests on two pillars: “like cures like” and the “minimum dose.” Although the former invites debate about symptom similarity, the latter—claiming pharmacological activity in solutions diluted beyond Avogadro’s number—remains the lightning rod. Conventional toxicology anticipates a monotonic dose–response curve: the more drug, the stronger the

effect. Homeopathy instead predicts therapeutic action from infinitesimal quantities prepared through serial dilution and succussion. This critical review surveys historical foundations, evaluates experimental and clinical evidence, dissects mechanistic proposals, and identifies research priorities for a field wrestling with its own plausibility.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT AND PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS

Hahnemannian Principle of Minimum Dose

The doctrine of the minimum dose forms the cornerstone of classical homeopathy, as envisioned by Dr. Samuel Hahnemann in the late 18th and early 19th centuries. Through a series of systematic experiments, Hahnemann observed that certain substances, while curative in nature, also produced adverse effects when administered in crude form. To reduce these toxic effects, he began diluting the remedies in alcohol or water. However, contrary to pharmacological expectations, he found that the curative action seemed to persist—and even intensify—despite extreme dilutions. This led to his groundbreaking hypothesis: it was not the material substance but the "dynamic essence" or "vital force" of the drug that elicited therapeutic responses.

To potentiate this effect, Hahnemann introduced the method of serial dilution and succussion—a process where the remedy is vigorously shaken after each dilution. He believed that this mechanical agitation was essential to activate the latent healing potential of the substance. The result was a form of medicine that, though chemically undetectable at higher dilutions, was believed to exert a powerful energetic influence on the human body.

One of the most widely used potencies in homeopathy is 30C, which involves a hundredfold dilution repeated thirty times. This results in a concentration of 10^{-60}, far beyond the Avogadro limit (approximately 10^{-23}), where the likelihood of even a single molecule of the original substance remaining is practically zero. Yet, such potencies are commonly prescribed in homeopathic practice across the world. The minimum dose thus represents a philosophical divergence from mainstream pharmacology, one that prioritizes energy and resonance over mass and molecular presence.

From Vitalism to Modern Interest

In its early days, homeopathy was closely aligned with the vitalist philosophy—the idea that

living organisms are governed by a vital force distinct from physical and chemical processes. This belief insulated homeopathy from scientific critique for over a century. Since the remedies were thought to act on an immaterial vital principle, their effects were not expected to conform to conventional scientific models.

However, the 20th and 21st centuries witnessed a paradigm shift. With the rise of molecular biology, nanoscience, and quantum physics, even previously metaphysical ideas began attracting scientific curiosity. Modern homeopathic researchers have attempted to reframe the minimum-dose principle in terms of biophysical phenomena. Several exploratory studies have proposed that ultra-diluted remedies may retain nanoparticles or produce electromagnetic signatures that influence biological systems in subtle ways.

This transformation from vitalistic language to empirically testable hypotheses marks a critical moment in homeopathy's evolution. Instead of positioning itself outside the boundaries of science, a section of the homeopathic community is now seeking biochemical correlates for phenomena that were once solely philosophical. These efforts aim to bridge the gap between subjective clinical observations and objective laboratory measurements, although definitive proof remains elusive.

The historical trajectory of the minimum-dose concept—from a metaphysical belief to a contested scientific hypothesis—reveals not only the resilience of homeopathy but also its adaptability in the face of scientific skepticism. Whether future research validates or invalidates these ideas, the philosophical and historical underpinnings of homeopathy continue to challenge mainstream notions of dose, efficacy, and healing.

DOSE–RESPONSE PARADIGMS IN CLASSICAL PHARMACOLOGY

Linear and Non-Linear Models

In the field of classical pharmacology, the relationship between the dose of a drug and its biological response is foundational to both therapeutic design and toxicological risk assessment. Traditionally, a linear dose–response model suggests that as the concentration of a drug increases, the physiological response increases in a directly proportional manner. This model is typically applicable within a defined therapeutic range, especially for drugs with straightforward mechanisms of action.

However, real-world drug interactions often follow non-linear patterns, such as threshold models, where a drug exhibits no observable effect below a certain concentration, and sigmoidal (S-shaped) curves, where the response accelerates after the threshold and then plateaus due to receptor saturation. These models are better at reflecting biological complexity, as they incorporate receptor binding dynamics, enzyme saturation, and compensatory feedback mechanisms.

One particularly interesting non-linear model is hormesis, a concept widely recognized in toxicology and pharmacology. Hormesis describes a biphasic dose–response in which low doses of a substance stimulate a beneficial biological effect, while higher doses exert inhibitory or toxic effects. For example, certain antioxidants or stress-inducing agents show protective effects at microdoses but can be harmful in larger quantities.

Homeopathic proponents often cite hormesis as a scientific analogue to support their minimum-dose principle. They argue that low-dose stimulation validates the concept that extremely diluted substances can produce therapeutic effects. However, there is a critical distinction: hormetic responses occur at doses that are still within the measurable molecular range, typically between picomolar to micromolar concentrations. In contrast, homeopathic dilutions—especially those beyond 12C—are molecule-free by all known standards of chemistry. Thus, while hormesis may support low-dose efficacy, it does not substantiate the pharmacological action of ultra-high dilutions as practiced in classical homeopathy.

Avogadro Limit and Plausibility

The Avogadro constant, approximately 6.022×10^{23} mol^{-1}, defines the number of molecules present in one mole of a substance. When a solution is diluted beyond the 12C potency (a dilution factor of 10^{24}), statistical probability dictates that not a single molecule of the original substance remains in the final solution. Most homeopathic remedies prescribed in practice—such as 30C or 200C—are diluted far beyond this molecular threshold.

From the standpoint of conventional pharmacology and chemistry, any substance that no longer contains even trace amounts of its active component should be biologically inert. Mechanisms such as ligand–receptor binding, enzyme activation, or channel modulation require molecular interactions. Once the substance is diluted beyond the Avogadro limit, these

interactions become theoretically impossible under current models of biochemistry and molecular pharmacodynamics.

Therefore, any claims of biological activity or therapeutic effect in such ultra-high dilutions necessitate the existence of non-molecular mechanisms of action. This has led homeopathic researchers to propose alternative hypotheses—such as the retention of energetic information in the solvent (water memory), the presence of silica nanoparticles from the glass containers, or the formation of stable molecular clusters that carry the 'essence' of the original substance. However, these concepts remain highly controversial and lack universal scientific validation. Most of these mechanisms are still in the exploratory or theoretical phase, and their reproducibility under rigorous experimental conditions is limited. Until a clear, measurable, and repeatable mechanism is established, the homeopathic dose–response model remains fundamentally at odds with mainstream pharmacological science.

Table: 1 Comparison between Homeopathic and Conventional Dose–Response Models

Parameter	**Conventional Pharmacology**	**Homeopathy (Minimum Dose Paradigm)**
Dose–Response Relationship	Linear or sigmoidal	Inverse or non-material
Effective Concentration Range	Micro- to millimolar	Beyond Avogadro's limit (e.g., 30C)
Mechanism of Action	Receptor-ligand binding	Hypothesized energetic or informational imprint
Basis for Dosage Determination	Therapeutic index	Patient symptom profile & individualization
Strong	Strong	Highly debated and controversial

THE HOMEOPATHIC POTENCY SCALE: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

Potentization and Energetic Imprints

Potentization is the dual process of serial dilution and succussion (vigorous shaking) that defines homeopathic pharmacy. According to Hahnemann's original instructions, each centesimal (C) step removes 99 % of the preceding liquid and subjects the remaining 1 % to 10–20 firm impacts against an elastic surface. Proponents claim that these impacts do more

than homogenize the solution—they "activate" it, leaving behind a non-material yet biologically active imprint. Three leading hypotheses attempt to rationalize this imprint:

- **Water-Memory Microstructures –** Experiments using calorimetry, thermoluminescence, and ultrafast spectroscopy suggest that hydrogen-bond networks in water can reorganize into long-lived clusters after mechanical agitation. Advocates argue that these clusters encode spatial patterns reflecting the properties of the original solute.

- **Coherent-Domain Theory –** Building on quantum electrodynamics, Del Giudice and colleagues propose that water exposed to sufficiently strong electromagnetic fluctuations forms coherent domains—regions where molecules oscillate in unison. These domains could, in principle, store and transmit frequency-specific information even after dilution.

- **Silica Nanostructure Templates** – Because most remedies are prepared in glass vials, each succussion step shears minute quantities of silica into the liquid. Raman and X-ray scattering studies indicate that these silica fragments may serve as scaffolds onto which solvent molecules re-assemble, preserving a "template" of the parent substance through successive dilutions.

Succussion-Induced Nanoparticles

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) has revealed a surprising abundance of nanobubbles, metal oxides, and amorphous silica particles in remedies potentized beyond 30C. Investigators at IIT-Bombay and elsewhere observe that:

- Nanoparticles persist through multiple dilution steps, implying they are not fully removed during pipetting.
- Energy-dispersive X-ray analyses sometimes detect trace elements (e.g., Au, Zn, Fe) corresponding to the starting material, encapsulated within silica shells.
- These hybrid particles exhibit high surface area and reactive oxygen species–scavenging behavior in vitro, offering a conceivable—though still unproven—mechanism for sub-molecular bioactivity.

Critics counter that nanoparticle concentrations are orders of magnitude below pharmacological thresholds and may derive from environmental contamination. Nonetheless, the nanoparticle hypothesis provides the first tangible, testable vector linking potentization to

measurable matter. Current research focuses on standardizing vial materials, succussion forces, and analytical protocols to distinguish genuine remedy-derived particles from artifacts, aiming to clarify whether these nanostructures are causal agents, passive markers, or incidental curiosities within the homeopathic potency scale.

CRITICAL EVALUATION OF EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE

In Vitro Studies

In vitro research—carried out on cultured cells or isolated tissues—has been a useful starting point for investigating the biological activity of ultra-high dilutions. Some studies have reported observable changes in gene expression profiles, cytokine release, cell viability, or oxidative stress parameters after exposure to homeopathic remedies such as Sulphur 30C or Nux vomica 200C. For example, studies on human neuroblastoma cells have shown altered intracellular calcium signaling after exposure to Belladonna 30C.

Despite such encouraging results, replication remains a serious challenge. Many experiments have small sample sizes, lack rigorous blinding, or fail to include proper negative controls. Statistical significance is often marginal, and few studies undergo independent validation. Moreover, the biological mechanisms underlying these changes remain speculative, making it difficult to interpret whether these effects are reproducible, clinically relevant, or merely artifacts of experimental variability.

The absence of dose–response relationships and the reliance on single-lab findings weaken the generalizability of these observations. Critics argue that without standardized protocols and clear molecular targets, in vitro studies—while provocative—are insufficient to confirm or deny the therapeutic claims of ultra-diluted remedies.

Animal Models

Animal studies, particularly involving rodents, have long been used to evaluate the physiological effects of homeopathic medicines in a controlled biological system. Common models include the rat paw edema test for anti-inflammatory activity, the forced swim test for antidepressant effects, and various immunological assays. Remedies such as Arnica montana 30C, Calcarea carbonica 200C, and Thuja occidentalis 30C have been tested for effects ranging from wound healing to immune modulation.

Some studies have shown positive results—for instance, a decrease in TNF-α levels or improved stress response markers. However, systematic reviews and meta-analyses caution against over-interpretation of these findings. Major issues include:

- Heterogeneity of experimental design, including varied animal species, potencies, dosage schedules, and outcome measures.
- Inconsistent endpoints, with some studies measuring behavioral effects while others focus on biochemical markers.
- High risk of bias, particularly due to poor randomization, lack of blinding, and limited peer-reviewed publication.

There is also a tendency toward publication bias, where studies with positive outcomes are more likely to be published in complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) journals, while negative or neutral findings are underreported. As a result, the cumulative evidence base may be skewed in favor of efficacy, masking the true variability of outcomes.

Clinical Trials and Meta-Analyses

Clinical trials represent the gold standard for evaluating therapeutic efficacy. In homeopathy, however, well-designed randomized controlled trials (RCTs) remain relatively few, and those that exist often suffer from methodological limitations. While many small-scale trials report improvements in conditions like allergic rhinitis, irritable bowel syndrome, and migraine, these findings are not consistently replicated in larger or more rigorously controlled studies.

A major issue is the challenge of reconciling individualized homeopathic prescriptions—which are central to the discipline—with the standardized treatment arms required in conventional RCTs. This often leads to methodological compromises that affect the trial's validity.

Several comprehensive meta-analyses, including those by Mathie et al. and Shang et al., have attempted to aggregate data across trials. These analyses generally conclude that, when restricted to high-quality, double-blinded, and placebo-controlled trials, homeopathic remedies perform no better than placebo. Lower-quality studies tend to report positive effects, but these are attributed to methodological flaws rather than true efficacy.

Critics emphasize the need for

- Larger sample sizes to improve statistical power.
- Standardized outcome measures to allow comparison across studies.
- Pre-registration and protocol transparency to reduce selective reporting.
- Independent replication to validate preliminary results.

While a minority of researchers argue that small, well-conducted trials demonstrate specific effects beyond placebo, the broader scientific consensus remains skeptical, citing the lack of a clear dose–response mechanism, poor reproducibility, and the influence of expectancy or practitioner–patient interaction in generating observed outcomes.

Table 2: Summary of Selected in Vitro and in Vivo Studies on Ultra-Dilute Remedies

Study Type	Remedy Used	Model/System	Reported Effect	Replication Status
In Vitro	Belladonna 30C	Human neuroblastoma cells	Altered calcium signaling	Not replicated
Animal Model	Arnica montana 30C	Rat paw edema	Reduction in inflammation markers	Mixed results
In Vitro	Sulphur 200C	Yeast cell growth	Enhanced stress resistance	Single-lab study
Animal Model	Nux vomica 30C	Mouse behavior under stress	Behavioral normalization reported	Not peer-reviewed

MECHANISTIC HYPOTHESES FOR ULTRA-HIGH DILUTIONS

Nanoparticle Evidence

Among the most tangible explanations for sub-Avogadro activity is the nanoparticle hypothesis. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM), dynamic-light scattering, and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) have repeatedly shown that serial dilution with vigorous succussion strips silica and trace metals from glass vials, generating colloidal particles typically 10–200 nm in diameter. In several studies (e.g., Chikramane et al., IIT-Bombay) the elemental signatures of gold, zinc, or copper used as the starting substances were detected, sometimes encapsulated within a silica shell that shields the core from further dilution. Nanoparticles of this size range possess disproportionately large surface-to-volume ratios, allowing catalytic or redox activity at femtomolar concentrations—

well within the bounds of systems biology. They also interact readily with pattern-recognition receptors on immune or endothelial cells, offering an immunomodulatory route consistent with certain clinical claims (e.g., anti-inflammatory effects of Arnica 30C).

Yet caveats abound. Particle counts vary widely between labs, raising the specter of environmental contamination. Moreover, nanoparticle concentrations in high dilutions are orders of magnitude below those typically required for in vitro efficacy, and no unified pharmacokinetic model explains how they survive gastrointestinal, hepatic, or renal clearance. Rigorous blinded studies with isotopically labelled tracers and standardized glassware are underway, but conclusive evidence that these particles cause specific therapeutic outcomes remains elusive.

Hormesis and Biphasic Curves

Hormesis describes a U- or J-shaped dose–response in which low doses stimulate or prime biological systems while higher doses inhibit or damage them. Classic examples include low-dose radiation enhancing DNA-repair enzymes or trace toxins inducing antioxidant defenses. Homeopathic advocates argue that the "vital stimulus" of a 30C remedy mirrors hormetic priming—eliciting a self-healing response rather than acting directly on cellular targets. Some proponents extend the idea into time-dependent sensitization, suggesting that repeated exposures entrain neuro-immune feedback loops that amplify over successive doses.

Detractors counter that hormetic windows are typically observed between pico- and micromolar concentrations, still many orders of magnitude above molecule-free solutions. They also note that hormesis often relies on measurable receptor occupancy or stress-activated protein kinases—mechanisms incompatible with the absence of ligand. Empirically, attempts to map precise biphasic curves for ultra-dilute remedies have produced inconsistent inflection points and poor reproducibility, implying that hormesis alone cannot bridge the explanatory gap.

Quantum Coherence Models

A third, more speculative avenue invokes quantum electrodynamics (QED). The Del Giudice–Preparata model posits that water, when perturbed by succussion and electromagnetic noise, organizes into coherent domains (CDs) roughly 100 nm across, within which molecules

oscillate in phase at characteristic frequencies. These CDs could, in theory, "store" the spectral fingerprint of the original solute long after dilution removes its molecules. Laboratory hints—such as changes in ultra-weak photon emission or terahertz absorption spectra—have been cited as indirect evidence of such ordering.

However, replicating these measurements demands extreme control of temperature, vibration, and electromagnetic shielding; positive results often fall at the edge of instrument sensitivity. Critics further note that QED coherence would be transient at physiological temperatures and salt concentrations, making systemic delivery of intact domains improbable. Until the field achieves independent replication with robust signal-to-noise ratios, quantum coherence remains a provocative but unverified conjecture.

Table: 3Mechanistic Hypotheses for Ultra-High Dilution Effects

Hypothesis	Core Concept	Supporting Evidence	Limitations
Water Memory	Water retains structural imprint of solute	Benveniste's experiments, thermoluminescence	Poor reproducibility, theoretical gaps
Nanoparticle Hypothesis	Trace nanoparticles persist after dilution	TEM imaging, spectroscopy	Composition varies; lacks universality
Silica Scaffold Theory	Silica from glass vessels encodes remedy info	Raman spectroscopy, nanoparticle analysis	Contamination concerns
Quantum Coherence Domains	EM oscillations encode molecular signature	Theoretical modeling	Lacks experimental validation

METHODOLOGICAL CHALLENGES AND SOURCES OF BIAS

Reproducibility Concerns

Many positive findings originate from single laboratories. Attempts at independent replication frequently falter, suggesting lab-specific artifacts or unconscious experimenter effects.

Publication Bias and Selective Reporting

Journals aligned with complementary medicine may preferentially publish affirmative data, whereas null results languish. This skew inflates apparent efficacy and complicates meta-analytic synthesis.

IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

Individualization vs Standardization

Homeopathy prizes individualized prescriptions, yet evidence-based medicine leans on standardized interventions. Reconciling these paradigms demands pragmatic-trial designs and n-of-1 methodologies that capture personalized treatment chains while retaining evaluative rigor.

Safety and Risk Assessment

Ultra-dilute remedies are inherently low in direct toxicity, but indirect risks persist: delaying effective conventional therapy, misinterpreting remedy reactions, or improper antidoting. Ethical practice necessitates transparent patient communication and integrated care pathways.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES

High-Resolution Analytical Techniques

Advances in nanoparticle tracking analysis, Raman spectroscopy, and ultra-high-field NMR offer unprecedented resolution. Applying these tools systematically could confirm or refute the material persistence hypotheses.

Rigorous Trial Designs

Adaptive RCTs, factorial designs combining individualized and standardized arms, and global data repositories could sharpen estimates of effect size and moderator variables. Preregistration and open data will curb selective reporting.

Translational Interdisciplinarity

Cross-talk between homeopaths, chemists, and systems biologists may illuminate emergent properties of complex adaptive systems, bridging experiential knowledge and bench-top validation.

CONCLUSION

Contrary to conventional drug-receptor logic, homeopathic potencies showcase complex, oft-paradoxical efficacy patterns that align more closely with adaptive stress biology than linear pharmacokinetics. Recognizing these dynamics reframes the "placebo" critique and invites collaborative research that integrates advanced spectroscopic methods with rigorous clinical endpoints. Validating—or refuting—minimum-dose efficacy will hinge on transparent methodology, reproducible potency preparation, and cross-disciplinary dialogue spanning physics, chemistry, and clinical medicine.

REFERENCES

1. Bellavite, P., & Signorini, A. (2002). The Emerging Science of Homeopathy: Complexity, Biodynamics, and Nanopharmacology (2nd ed.). North Atlantic Books.
2. Walach, H., Jonas, W. B., Ives, J., van Wijk, R., & Weingärtner, O. (2005). Research on homeopathy: State of the art. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 11(5), 813–829. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2005.11.813
3. Mathie, R. T., Ramparsad, N., Legg, L. A., Clausen, J., & Davidson, J. R. (2017). Randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualised homeopathic treatment: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Systematic Reviews, 6, Article 63. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0457-2
4. Khuda-Bukhsh, A. R., Bhattacharyya, S. S., Paul, S., & Boujedaini, N. (2011). Homeopathy and epigenetics: A review. Homeopathy, 100(4), 208–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.homp.2011.05.002
5. Fisher, P., & Scott, D. L. (2001). A randomized controlled trial of homeopathy in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology, 40(9), 1052–1055. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/40.9.1052
6. Banerjee, P., Bhattacharyya, S. S., Pathak, S., & Khuda-Bukhsh, A. R. (2009). Evidences of water structure modification in high dilution of potentized homeopathic drugs. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 6(2), 201–207. https://doi.org/10.1093/ecam/nem196
7. Chikramane, P. S., Suresh, A. K., Bellare, J. R., & Kane, S. G. (2010). Extreme homeopathic dilutions retain starting materials: A nanoparticulate perspective. Homeopathy, 99(4), 231–242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.homp.2010.05.006
8. Teixeira, M. Z. (2007). Evidence of the principle of similitude in modern fatal

toxicology. Homeopathy, 96(2), 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.homp.2007.02.003

9. Witt, C. M., Bluth, M., Albrecht, H., Weisshuhn, T. E., Baumgartner, S., & Willich, S. N. (2007). The in vitro evidence for an effect of high homeopathic potencies. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 13(4), 391–398. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2006.6050
10. Toliopoulos, I. K., Simos, Y. V., Oikonomidis, S., & Pantazis, P. (2013). In vitro effects of homeopathic preparations on cancer cell lines. International Journal of High Dilution Research, 12(45), 128–129. http://www.highdilution.org
11. Saha, S. K., & Sukul, N. C. (2005). Electroencephalographic effect of ultrahigh dilution of Nux vomica on sleep-deprived rats. Homeopathy, 94(2), 89–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.homp.2004.11.004
12. Kaur, G., & Bhatnagar, M. (2017). Nanoparticles and homeopathy: A review on scientific validation of nanopharmacology. Indian Journal of Research in Homoeopathy, 11(4), 231–238. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijrh.ijrh_66_17
13. Milgrom, L. R. (2009). Homeopathy and the collapse of the assumption of the additivity of independent causal influences in physics. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 15(3), 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1089/acm.2008.0320
14. Rajendran, E. S. (2010). Nanopharmacology and homeopathy: A review. International Journal of High Dilution Research, 9(33), 249–268. http://www.highdilution.org
15. Oberbaum, M., & Vithoulkas, G. (2005). Clinical trials of classical homeopathy: A strategy for defining conditions for which homeopathy is effective. British Homeopathic Journal, 94(2), 99–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.homp.2004.11.006.