MANTECH Publications

Journal of Engineering Mathematics and Statistics
Volume 1, Issue 2, July-December 2017

Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

Ravindra K. Joshi[1], Meena L. Patwardhan[2]

Associate Professor[1], Assistant Professor[2]

Department of Applied Mathematics

Sharda Rural College, Jalgaon, India

Email ID: *ravindkjoshi333@yahoo.com[1], meenalpatwardhan054@gmail.com[2]*

Abstract

Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) play a central role in modeling a wide variety of physical, biological, and engineering phenomena such as heat conduction, fluid flow, wave propagation, electromagnetics, and population dynamics. Analytical solutions to PDEs are available only for a limited class of problems with simplified geometries and boundary conditions. As a result, numerical methods have become an essential tool for obtaining approximate solutions to PDEs arising in real-world applications. This paper presents a comprehensive review of numerical methods for solving PDEs, with emphasis on classical and modern approaches. Finite difference methods, finite element methods, and finite volume methods are discussed in detail, along with spectral methods and meshless techniques. Issues related to stability, convergence, accuracy, and computational efficiency are also examined. The paper further highlights recent developments in adaptive schemes and high-performance computing for PDE solvers. The aim of this review is to provide a structured understanding of numerical PDE methods for students, researchers, and practitioners in engineering mathematics and applied sciences.

Keywords: *Partial differential equations; numerical methods; finite difference method; finite element method; finite volume method; stability and convergence*

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

1. Introduction

Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) are fundamental mathematical tools used to describe systems in which the dependent variable depends on more than one independent variable. Typical examples include time-dependent diffusion processes, wave motion, and fluid dynamics. Many governing equations in science and engineering, such as the heat equation, Laplace equation, Poisson equation, and Navier–Stokes equations, are expressed in the form of PDEs.

Although PDEs have been studied for several centuries, exact analytical solutions are known only for a small subset of idealized problems. Realistic systems often involve irregular geometries, nonlinearities, and complex boundary or initial conditions, which makes analytical treatment extremely difficult or impossible. In such cases, numerical methods offer a practical alternative by approximating the continuous problem with a discrete one that can be solved using computers.

The growth of computational power has significantly expanded the applicability of numerical methods for PDEs. Today, numerical simulation is considered a third pillar of scientific investigation, alongside theory and experiments. Numerical solutions help engineers design safer structures, predict weather patterns, optimize industrial processes, and understand complex natural phenomena. However, the reliability of numerical solutions strongly depends on the choice of method, discretization strategy, and error control.

This paper reviews the most widely used numerical methods for solving PDEs. The discussion begins with a classification of PDEs, followed by an overview of discretization techniques. Classical methods such as finite difference, finite element, and finite volume methods are described, and their advantages and limitations are compared. Advanced topics such as spectral methods, stability analysis, and recent trends are also included.

2. Classification of Partial Differential Equations

The classification of partial differential equations (PDEs) is a crucial step in the process of selecting an appropriate analytical or numerical solution technique. Different classes of PDEs exhibit distinct mathematical properties and physical interpretations, which directly influence the

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

stability, accuracy, and convergence of numerical methods applied to them. In general, PDEs are classified based on their order, linearity, and characteristic behavior. A clear understanding of these classifications helps in designing efficient numerical schemes and avoiding computational errors.

2.1 Order and Linearity

The **order** of a partial differential equation is defined by the highest order of partial derivative appearing in the equation. For example, a PDE involving first-order derivatives only is called a first-order PDE, whereas equations containing second-order derivatives are referred to as second-order PDEs. In practical applications, most governing equations in physics and engineering are second-order PDEs. Typical examples include the heat equation, wave equation, and Poisson equation.

Higher-order PDEs may arise in specialized applications such as beam theory, plate bending problems, and fluid stability analysis. However, these equations are often transformed into systems of lower-order equations for numerical treatment. As the order of a PDE increases, the complexity of numerical approximation also increases, requiring finer discretization and more boundary conditions.

PDEs are also classified based on their **linearity**. A PDE is said to be linear if the dependent variable and its derivatives appear linearly in the equation, and if the coefficients depend only on the independent variables. Linear PDEs satisfy the principle of superposition, meaning that a linear combination of solutions is also a solution. This property simplifies both analytical and numerical solution procedures.

In contrast, **nonlinear PDEs** involve nonlinear terms such as products or powers of the dependent variable and its derivatives. Nonlinear equations are more challenging to solve and often require iterative numerical methods. They can exhibit complex phenomena such as shock waves, bifurcations, chaos, and turbulence. Examples of nonlinear PDEs include the Navier–Stokes equations for fluid flow and nonlinear reaction–diffusion equations. Numerical treatment of

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

nonlinear PDEs requires careful consideration of stability and convergence, as small numerical errors may grow rapidly.

2.2 Elliptic, Parabolic, and Hyperbolic Equations

Second-order partial differential equations are further classified into **elliptic**, **parabolic**, and **hyperbolic** types based on the discriminant of the second-order derivative terms. This classification plays a significant role in determining suitable numerical methods and boundary or initial conditions.

Elliptic PDEs are typically associated with steady-state or equilibrium problems, where time does not explicitly appear. Common examples include Laplace's equation and Poisson's equation, which arise in electrostatics, steady heat conduction, and potential flow problems. Solutions of elliptic equations are generally smooth, and boundary conditions must be specified over the entire domain boundary. Numerical methods for elliptic equations focus on achieving high spatial accuracy and efficient solution of large algebraic systems.

Parabolic PDEs describe time-dependent diffusion or dissipation processes. The heat equation is a classical example of a parabolic PDE. These equations involve both spatial and temporal derivatives and require initial conditions along with boundary conditions. Numerical methods for parabolic equations must carefully balance accuracy and stability, particularly with respect to time-stepping schemes. Explicit methods are simple but conditionally stable, while implicit methods are more stable but computationally expensive.

Hyperbolic PDEs represent wave propagation and transport phenomena, where information travels with finite speed. Examples include the wave equation and equations governing acoustics and fluid dynamics. Hyperbolic equations often develop sharp gradients or discontinuities, such as shock waves. Numerical schemes for hyperbolic PDEs must be designed to capture wave behavior accurately without introducing non-physical oscillations. Stability conditions, such as the Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy (CFL) condition, play a key role in these problems.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

In summary, the classification of PDEs provides essential insight into their mathematical structure and physical behavior. This understanding is fundamental for selecting appropriate numerical discretization techniques and ensuring reliable computational results.

3. General Framework of Numerical Solution of PDEs

The numerical solution of partial differential equations follows a systematic framework that converts a continuous mathematical model into a discrete form that can be solved using computational techniques. Although the specific implementation may vary depending on the numerical method used, the overall procedure remains largely similar across different approaches. Each step in this framework plays an important role in determining the accuracy, stability, and efficiency of the numerical solution.

3.1 Discretization of the Computational Domain

The first step in solving a PDE numerically is the discretization of the physical domain. The continuous domain is divided into a finite number of points or elements, forming a grid or mesh. In finite difference methods, structured grids with uniform spacing are commonly used, whereas finite element and finite volume methods allow unstructured meshes composed of triangles, quadrilaterals, or tetrahedra.

The choice of mesh size significantly affects the solution quality. A coarse mesh reduces computational cost but may fail to capture important features of the solution, while a very fine mesh improves accuracy at the expense of increased computation time and memory usage. In many practical problems, non-uniform or adaptive meshes are employed to provide higher resolution in regions with steep gradients or localized phenomena.

3.2 Approximation of Derivatives

Once the domain is discretized, the partial derivatives in the governing equation are approximated using algebraic expressions. These approximations are derived using Taylor series expansions, interpolation functions, or integral formulations, depending on the numerical method.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

In finite difference schemes, derivatives are replaced by difference quotients involving values at neighboring grid points. In the finite element method, derivatives are approximated using shape functions defined over each element. Finite volume methods approximate derivatives indirectly by evaluating fluxes across control volume boundaries. The accuracy of these approximations depends on the order of the scheme and the smoothness of the solution.

3.3 Formulation of Algebraic System

Substituting the discrete approximations into the original PDE transforms the continuous problem into a system of algebraic equations. This system may be linear or nonlinear, sparse or dense, depending on the nature of the PDE and the discretization approach.

Boundary and initial conditions are incorporated at this stage. Improper treatment of boundary conditions can lead to significant numerical errors or even instability. For time-dependent problems, temporal discretization leads to a sequence of algebraic systems that must be solved at each time step.

3.4 Solution of Algebraic Equations

The resulting algebraic system is solved using appropriate numerical solvers. Direct methods such as Gaussian elimination are suitable for small systems, while iterative methods like Jacobi, Gauss–Seidel, and conjugate gradient methods are preferred for large-scale problems due to their lower memory requirements.

For nonlinear systems, iterative techniques such as Newton–Raphson or fixed-point iteration are commonly used. The efficiency of the solver has a major impact on the overall computational cost, particularly for three-dimensional and time-dependent problems.

3.5 Post-Processing and Interpretation

After obtaining the numerical solution, post-processing is performed to analyze and interpret the results. This may include visualization of solution profiles, contour plots, or time evolution graphs.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

Post-processing helps in identifying physical trends, validating numerical accuracy, and detecting numerical artifacts.

Comparison with analytical solutions, experimental data, or benchmark problems is often carried out to assess the reliability of the numerical method. Sensitivity analysis may also be performed to study the effect of mesh size and time step on the solution.

3.6 Sources of Numerical Errors

Numerical solutions are always approximate and are affected by various types of errors. **Discretization errors** arise from approximating continuous derivatives with discrete expressions. **Round-off errors** result from finite precision arithmetic in computers. **Iterative errors** occur when iterative solvers are terminated before full convergence.

A careful error and stability analysis is therefore essential to ensure that numerical results are meaningful and physically realistic. Techniques such as mesh refinement studies and error estimation are commonly used to control and minimize numerical errors.

4. Finite Difference Method (FDM)

The Finite Difference Method (FDM) is one of the earliest and most widely used numerical techniques for solving partial differential equations. Its popularity arises from its conceptual simplicity and ease of implementation. The method is based on replacing continuous derivatives in a PDE with finite difference approximations derived from Taylor series expansions. By doing so, the continuous problem is transformed into a system of algebraic equations that can be solved numerically.

FDM is particularly suitable for problems defined on regular domains where structured grids can be employed. Due to its straightforward formulation, it is often introduced as the first numerical method for PDEs in engineering mathematics and applied science courses.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

4.1 Basic Idea of Finite Difference Approximation

To illustrate the basic idea of FDM, consider a one-dimensional spatial domain divided into equally spaced grid points with a constant step size. The value of the dependent variable is computed at these discrete points. Using Taylor series expansions about a grid point, derivatives can be approximated using neighboring grid values.

The first derivative may be approximated using forward, backward, or central difference schemes. Forward and backward difference approximations are first-order accurate, while central difference schemes generally provide second-order accuracy. Similarly, second-order derivatives are commonly approximated using central difference formulas.

When these finite difference approximations are substituted into the governing PDE, the differential equation is replaced by an algebraic equation at each grid point. Applying this procedure throughout the domain results in a system of linear or nonlinear algebraic equations. For time-dependent problems, additional discretization in the time domain leads to explicit or implicit time-marching schemes.

The choice of difference scheme has a strong influence on the accuracy and stability of the numerical solution. Central difference schemes are often preferred due to their higher accuracy, but they may impose stricter stability conditions in time-dependent problems.

4.2 Treatment of Boundary and Initial Conditions

Boundary and initial conditions play a critical role in finite difference solutions. Boundary conditions such as Dirichlet, Neumann, or mixed types are incorporated by modifying the finite difference equations at the boundary grid points. Inaccurate implementation of boundary conditions can lead to significant errors or instability.

For time-dependent PDEs, initial conditions specify the solution at the starting time level. The solution is then advanced in time using suitable difference schemes. Explicit schemes are simple

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

to implement but are conditionally stable, while implicit schemes offer better stability properties at the cost of increased computational effort.

4.3 Applications of Finite Difference Method

The Finite Difference Method is extensively used in solving heat conduction, diffusion, and wave propagation problems. It is also applied in groundwater flow modeling, option pricing in financial mathematics, and simple fluid flow simulations. Due to its structured grid requirement, FDM is most effective for problems defined on rectangular or regularly shaped domains.

In many engineering applications, FDM serves as a benchmark method for validating results obtained from more complex numerical techniques. Its relatively low computational cost makes it attractive for one- and two-dimensional problems where high geometric flexibility is not required.

4.4 Limitations of Finite Difference Method

Despite its advantages, FDM has several limitations. The method is less flexible when dealing with complex geometries, irregular boundaries, or highly non-uniform meshes. Extending FDM to such problems often requires complicated coordinate transformations or grid generation techniques.

Another limitation is the difficulty in handling discontinuities and sharp gradients, which may lead to numerical oscillations or loss of accuracy. Stability constraints, such as restrictions on time step size, can also limit the efficiency of explicit schemes. For these reasons, alternative methods like finite element or finite volume methods are often preferred for complex real-world applications.

Table 1: Comparison of Finite Difference Schemes

Scheme	Accuracy	Stability	Remarks
Forward Difference	First order	Conditionally stable	Simple but less accurate
Central Difference	Second order	Conditionally stable	Good accuracy
Backward Difference	First order	Unconditionally stable	Used for stiff problems

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

5. Finite Element Method (FEM)

The Finite Element Method (FEM) is a highly powerful and flexible numerical technique for solving partial differential equations, especially in problems involving complex geometries, heterogeneous materials, and complicated boundary conditions. Unlike finite difference methods, which rely on structured grids, FEM allows the use of unstructured meshes, making it particularly suitable for real-world engineering applications.

FEM has become a standard computational tool in areas such as structural mechanics, heat transfer, fluid dynamics, and electromagnetics. Its strong mathematical foundation and adaptability have contributed to its widespread acceptance in both academic research and industrial practice.

5.1 Weak Formulation and Discretization

A key feature that distinguishes FEM from other numerical methods is its reliance on the **weak (or variational) formulation** of the governing PDE. Instead of requiring the solution to satisfy the differential equation at every point in the domain, FEM seeks a solution that satisfies an integral form of the equation. This approach reduces the smoothness requirements on the solution and allows greater flexibility in approximation.

The computational domain is divided into small, non-overlapping subdomains known as elements. Within each element, the unknown solution is approximated using piecewise polynomial basis functions, also called shape functions. These functions are defined locally over each element and are assembled to form a global approximation over the entire domain.

By substituting the approximate solution into the weak formulation and applying appropriate weighting functions, a system of algebraic equations is obtained. This system is typically sparse and well-structured, which is advantageous for numerical solution. Boundary conditions are naturally incorporated into the formulation, particularly in the case of Neumann and mixed boundary conditions.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

5.2 Mesh Generation and Element Types

Mesh generation is an important step in FEM. The accuracy of the solution strongly depends on the quality of the mesh and the choice of element types. Common element shapes include triangles and quadrilaterals in two dimensions, and tetrahedra and hexahedra in three dimensions.

Higher-order elements using quadratic or cubic shape functions can improve accuracy without significantly increasing the number of elements. Adaptive mesh refinement techniques are also employed to increase mesh density in regions where the solution exhibits high gradients or stress concentrations.

5.3 Advantages and Applications of FEM

One of the major advantages of FEM is its ability to handle irregular geometries and complex boundary conditions with relative ease. This makes it particularly useful in structural analysis, where components often have intricate shapes and varying material properties. FEM is also extensively used in fluid flow simulations, heat and mass transfer problems, and electromagnetic field analysis.

The method allows for systematic improvement of accuracy through mesh refinement or higher-order approximation. Additionally, FEM provides a solid theoretical framework for error estimation and convergence analysis, which enhances the reliability of numerical results.

5.4 Limitations and Implementation Challenges

Despite its advantages, FEM is mathematically more complex than finite difference methods. The formulation and implementation require a strong understanding of variational principles, linear algebra, and numerical integration. Developing an efficient FEM code can be time-consuming and prone to implementation errors.

Computational cost is another concern, particularly for large three-dimensional problems. The assembly and solution of large sparse systems may require advanced solvers and significant computational resources. For these reasons, careful implementation and validation are essential when using FEM in practical applications.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

6. Finite Volume Method (FVM)

The Finite Volume Method is commonly used in computational fluid dynamics. It is based on the integral form of conservation laws.

6.1 Conservation Properties

In FVM, the computational domain is divided into control volumes, and fluxes across the boundaries are evaluated. This ensures strict conservation of mass, momentum, and energy.

6.2 Practical Use

FVM is well suited for nonlinear hyperbolic PDEs and problems involving shocks. Its main drawback is the complexity of flux evaluation for higher-order accuracy.

7. Spectral and Meshless Methods

Spectral methods approximate the solution using global basis functions such as Fourier or Chebyshev polynomials. These methods provide very high accuracy for smooth solutions but are less effective for problems with discontinuities.

Meshless methods, such as radial basis function methods, avoid the need for mesh generation. Although promising, they are still computationally expensive for large-scale problems.

8. Stability, Convergence, and Accuracy

A numerical scheme must be stable, convergent, and accurate to be useful. Stability ensures that errors do not grow uncontrollably, while convergence guarantees that the numerical solution approaches the exact solution as the grid is refined. Consistency, stability, and convergence are closely related through the Lax equivalence theorem.

9. Recent Developments and Applications

Recent research focuses on adaptive mesh refinement, parallel computing, and machine learning-assisted PDE solvers. High-performance computing has enabled the simulation of large and

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

complex systems that were previously infeasible. Numerical PDE methods are now widely applied in climate modeling, biomedical engineering, and materials science.

10. Conclusion

Numerical methods for partial differential equations are indispensable tools in modern science and engineering. This paper reviewed the fundamental concepts and major numerical techniques used to solve PDEs, including finite difference, finite element, finite volume, and spectral methods. Each method has its own strengths and limitations, and no single approach is universally best. The choice of method depends on the type of PDE, domain geometry, required accuracy, and available computational resources. Despite significant progress, challenges remain in solving highly nonlinear and multi-scale problems. Continued research in numerical analysis and computational techniques is expected to further enhance the efficiency and reliability of PDE solvers in the future.

References

1. Smith, G.D., *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations*, Oxford University Press, 2004.
2. Morton, K.W. and Mayers, D.F., *Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
3. Strikwerda, J.C., *Finite Difference Schemes and Partial Differential Equations*, SIAM, 2007.
4. Zienkiewicz, O.C. and Taylor, R.L., *The Finite Element Method*, Elsevier, 2006.
5. Versteeg, H.K. and Malalasekera, W., *An Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics*, Pearson, 2007.
6. LeVeque, R.J., *Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems*, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
7. Trefethen, L.N., *Spectral Methods in MATLAB*, SIAM, 2000.
8. Quarteroni, A., Sacco, R., and Saleri, F., *Numerical Mathematics*, Springer, 2007.
9. Fletcher, C.A.J., *Computational Techniques for Fluid Dynamics*, Springer, 1991.

Page 97-109 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved