
Advancements and Applications of Physics Informed Machine Learning (PIML) For Complex Systems Modelling and Prediction

Dr. Ananya Mehta¹, Prof. Raghav Singh²

Assistant Professor¹, Professor²

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, ²Department of Civil Engineering

¹Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, ²National Institute of Technology, Karnataka

Email ID: ananya.mehta@gmail.com¹, raghav.singh@yahoo.co.in²

ABSTRACT

Physics Informed Machine Learning (PIML) represents an emerging interdisciplinary approach that integrates classical physical laws with modern data-driven machine learning techniques. By embedding prior knowledge of physics into machine learning models, PIML provides a framework that improves prediction accuracy, reduces computational costs, and enhances generalization in scenarios where conventional models struggle due to limited or noisy data. This paper reviews the principles of PIML, highlights key methodologies, discusses recent applications across engineering and scientific domains, and outlines current challenges and future scope. PIML has shown promise in fluid dynamics, climate modeling, structural health monitoring, and biomedical simulations, among other areas. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive perspective on the current landscape and potential of PIML as a transformative tool in computational modeling.

KEYWORDS: *Physics Informed Machine Learning, PIML, Data-Driven Modeling, Partial Differential Equations, Computational Simulation, Hybrid Models, Scientific Machine Learning.*

INTRODUCTION

Machine learning (ML) has revolutionized various domains by providing predictive capabilities without explicit programming. Traditional ML methods, however, often ignore prior knowledge about the underlying physical processes governing a system. This limitation

reduces their reliability, especially in scientific and engineering applications where predictions must obey known physical laws.

Physics Informed Machine Learning (PIML) addresses this gap by integrating physical knowledge, such as differential equations, conservation laws, and boundary conditions, directly into the ML framework. By doing so, PIML models can leverage both observed data and known physics to produce predictions that are not only accurate but also physically consistent.

The significance of PIML lies in its potential to reduce dependency on large datasets, which are often expensive, sparse, or impossible to obtain. Furthermore, it provides a mechanism for enforcing constraints that are otherwise difficult to learn purely from data, such as energy conservation in fluid simulations or stress-strain relationships in structural analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Evolution of PIML

The concept of combining physical laws with machine learning has gained traction over the last decade. Early work in scientific machine learning focused on using surrogate models to approximate computationally expensive simulations. The integration of partial differential equations (PDEs) into neural networks, first popularized by Raissi et al. in 2019, laid the foundation for modern PIML frameworks. Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs) have since become the standard approach, demonstrating that neural networks can solve forward and inverse problems governed by PDEs efficiently.

2. Recent Applications

PIML has been applied across multiple domains, including:

- **Fluid Dynamics:** Accurate modeling of turbulent flows using limited experimental data combined with Navier-Stokes equations.
- **Climate Science:** Prediction of temperature and precipitation patterns by embedding conservation laws into ML models.
- **Structural Engineering:** Monitoring of bridges and buildings using sensor data constrained by physical stress-strain relationships.
- **Biomedical Applications:** Modeling of cardiac dynamics and blood flow using patient-specific data while adhering to physiological laws.

3. Comparative Studies

Studies comparing PIML with pure data-driven ML show improved generalization, particularly in extrapolating beyond training data. In scenarios with noisy or sparse data, PIML consistently outperforms traditional neural networks due to the regularizing effect of physical constraints.

Table 1: Comparison of Traditional ML and PIML Approaches

Feature	Traditional ML	Physics Informed ML (PIML)
Data Dependency	High; requires large datasets	Moderate; can work with sparse datasets
Physical Consistency	Not guaranteed	Enforced via physics constraints
Generalization	Poor outside training data	Better generalization due to physics embedding
Computational Cost	Low for small models, high for large models	Higher during training due to physics loss, but efficient during inference
Applicability	Broad, generic problems	Scientific, engineering, and physics-based problems

METHODOLOGY OF PHYSICS INFORMED MACHINE LEARNING

1. Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)

PINNs incorporate physical laws into the loss function of a neural network. For example, if a system is governed by a differential equation $F(u, x, t) = 0$, the neural network predicts u while the loss function penalizes deviations from this equation. This ensures that the predictions adhere to known physics even in regions without data.

2. Hybrid Modeling Approaches

Hybrid models combine traditional numerical solvers with machine learning. In these approaches, ML predicts corrections or residuals of approximate physical models, enhancing computational efficiency while maintaining accuracy.

3. Data Assimilation and Uncertainty Quantification

PIML also integrates uncertainty quantification, allowing for probabilistic predictions. Bayesian neural networks and ensemble learning methods are frequently used to quantify prediction uncertainty while respecting physical laws.

CHALLENGES IN PHYSICS INFORMED MACHINE LEARNING

Table 2: Challenges vs Solutions in PIML

Challenge	Description	Potential Solutions
Sparse/Noisy Data	Limited experimental observations	Use regularization, hybrid models, transfer learning
Computational Cost	Training PINNs for large 3D systems is intensive	High-performance computing, adaptive sampling, efficient architectures
Multi-Physics Complexity	Coupled PDE systems are difficult to model	Modular architectures, multi-scale modeling
Balance of Physics & Data	Overweighting physics or data can bias predictions	Cross-validation, adaptive loss weighting

1. Complexity of Physical Laws

One of the primary challenges in Physics Informed Machine Learning (PIML) is the incorporation of highly nonlinear and coupled physical laws into neural network frameworks. Many real-world systems are governed by partial differential equations (PDEs) that describe multiple interacting physical phenomena, such as thermo-fluid dynamics, electro-magnetic interactions, or chemical reactions in reactive flows. In such cases, the governing equations may be nonlinear, time-dependent, and coupled across multiple variables, making it difficult for a neural network to learn an accurate representation.

For example, in thermo-fluid interactions, temperature variations can affect fluid viscosity, which in turn affects velocity fields, creating a nonlinear feedback loop. Capturing these interactions in a PIML model requires designing network architectures capable of multi-variable coupling, selecting appropriate activation functions, and carefully formulating the

physics-based loss terms. Improper design may lead to unstable training, divergence, or physically inconsistent predictions, especially for long-term simulations.

Moreover, multi-physics problems often involve different spatial and temporal scales. Resolving fine-scale dynamics alongside large-scale phenomena in a single model can exponentially increase computational complexity. Researchers often employ strategies such as domain decomposition, adaptive sampling, and modular PINN architectures to manage this complexity, but these approaches require sophisticated model design and careful validation.

2. Sparse and Noisy DAT

Although one of the main advantages of PIML is its reduced dependency on large datasets, some degree of high-quality data is still necessary for calibration, validation, and error correction. Sparse datasets or measurements contaminated with noise can negatively impact the model's ability to learn accurate solutions, especially in regions of the domain where data is limited.

For instance, in structural health monitoring, sensor data from bridges or buildings may be sparse due to limited instrumentation, and measurements can be noisy because of environmental vibrations or sensor inaccuracies. In such cases, the PIML model relies heavily on the physics constraints to compensate for missing data. However, if the physical laws are only approximate or if boundary conditions are uncertain, the combination of sparse/noisy data and imperfect physics can lead to biased or inaccurate predictions.

To mitigate this challenge, researchers often employ data augmentation, noise-robust training methods, or Bayesian PINNs that incorporate probabilistic uncertainty quantification. These techniques allow the model to express confidence in its predictions and prevent overfitting to unreliable data.

3. Scalability and Computational Cost

Training PIML models, especially Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs), for large-scale three-dimensional systems or long time horizons can be computationally expensive. The addition of physics-based loss functions introduces extra computational overhead because

every training iteration often requires evaluating complex PDEs or their derivatives at multiple points in the domain.

For example, simulating 3D turbulent flow over an aircraft wing using PINNs requires calculating spatial derivatives in three dimensions and incorporating boundary conditions at multiple surfaces, which significantly increases memory usage and training time. Similarly, long-term climate predictions require temporal integration over extended periods, further escalating computational demands.

To address scalability issues, several strategies are employed:

- **Optimized network architectures:** Using deeper or wider networks selectively where higher resolution is needed.
- **Adaptive collocation points:** Sampling domain points dynamically where errors are higher to reduce unnecessary computation.
- **High-performance computing (HPC) resources:** Leveraging GPUs or distributed training across clusters to accelerate convergence.
- **Parallelization techniques:** Splitting the computational domain into smaller subdomains and training subnetworks simultaneously.

Despite these strategies, balancing accuracy and computational feasibility remains a major challenge for applying PIML to real-world, large-scale problems.

4. Balancing Physics and Data

A critical challenge in PIML is choosing the appropriate weighting between data loss and physics loss in the network's objective function. The loss function is typically a combination of a data-driven term, which penalizes deviations from observed measurements, and a physics-informed term, which enforces adherence to physical laws.

An overemphasis on physics loss may lead the model to ignore noisy or high-value data, resulting in underfitting and inability to capture subtle variations observed in the real system. Conversely, an overemphasis on data loss can cause the model to violate physical constraints, producing predictions that appear accurate on training data but are physically inconsistent and unreliable in unseen scenarios.

Finding the optimal balance is nontrivial and often problem-specific. Techniques such as dynamic loss weighting, adaptive training, and multi-objective optimization are commonly used to tune the contribution of physics and data losses during training. Additionally, validation using independent datasets or benchmark simulations is essential to ensure that the **model maintains both physical fidelity and data accuracy.**

SCOPE AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

1. Cross-Domain Applications

The scope of PIML extends beyond traditional engineering and physics problems. Emerging areas include materials discovery, drug design, energy systems optimization, and autonomous robotics. PIML models can accelerate simulations and enable real-time predictions in these fields.

2. Integration with AI Advancements

Integration of PIML with advanced AI methods, such as reinforcement learning and graph neural networks, is expected to enhance predictive power in complex and high-dimensional systems. For example, graph-based PIML can model interconnected systems like electrical grids or transportation networks.

3. Reduced Computational Cost

With further development, PIML has the potential to replace expensive numerical solvers in many applications, enabling faster design cycles in engineering and faster scenario testing in climate and environmental modeling.

4. Real-Time Decision Making

PIML can facilitate real-time control and decision-making by providing rapid yet physically consistent predictions. This is particularly valuable in autonomous vehicles, adaptive manufacturing, and medical intervention planning.

APPLICATIONS OF PHYSICS INFORMED MACHINE LEARNING

Table 2: Key Applications of PIML across Domains

Domain	PIML Application	Key Benefits
Fluid Dynamics	Turbulent flow modeling	Accurate predictions with limited experimental data
Climate Modeling	Temperature and precipitation forecasts	Enforces conservation laws; improved extrapolation
Structural Engineering	Stress-strain monitoring in buildings	Reduces sensor data requirements; predicts potential failures
Biomedical	Cardiac and blood flow simulations	Personalized treatment planning; physically consistent models
Manufacturing	Process outcome prediction	Reduces trial-and-error; improves production efficiency

1. Engineering Systems

In mechanical and civil engineering, Physics-Informed Machine Learning (PIML) is increasingly used for structural health monitoring (SHM), design optimization, and predictive maintenance. Traditional SHM relies heavily on dense sensor networks and extensive simulations, which can be expensive and time-consuming. PIML addresses these limitations by integrating sparse sensor measurements with governing physics such as elasticity equations, stress-strain relationships, and vibration dynamics.

For example, in bridge monitoring, PIML models can predict stress distributions and potential failure points using limited strain and displacement sensor data. By enforcing the laws of mechanics, these models provide physically consistent predictions even in areas where no sensors are deployed. Similarly, in mechanical systems like turbines or aircraft components, PIML can predict load distributions, fatigue progression, and deformation patterns, enabling proactive maintenance and reducing downtime.

Moreover, PIML can assist in engineering design optimization. By embedding constraints such as material properties, thermal limits, and safety factors into the learning model, engineers can explore a larger design space efficiently and identify optimal configurations that meet multiple objectives without violating physical laws.

2. Environmental and Climate Modeling

Environmental and climate modeling is another domain where PIML has shown significant potential. Climate systems are governed by complex and nonlinear processes, including atmospheric fluid dynamics, thermodynamics, and ocean-atmosphere interactions. Classical models require massive computational resources, while purely data-driven ML struggles with sparse or incomplete observational data.

PIML bridges this gap by combining physical knowledge of the climate system, such as conservation of energy, mass, and momentum, with available data from satellites, weather stations, and ocean buoys. This approach enables improved forecast accuracy for variables like temperature, precipitation, and wind patterns, even when historical data is limited.

Additionally, PIML can be applied to environmental monitoring tasks, such as predicting pollutant dispersion in rivers or air quality in urban areas. By embedding the governing transport equations and diffusion laws, PIML provides physically consistent predictions, helping policymakers and environmental engineers make informed decisions.

3. Biomedical Engineering

In biomedical applications, PIML offers transformative capabilities by enabling patient-specific modeling that is both data-driven and physiology-consistent. Traditional biomedical simulations, such as computational fluid dynamics (CFD) for blood flow, require high-resolution imaging and complex numerical solvers, making them computationally expensive.

PIML models integrate medical imaging data (e.g., MRI, CT scans) with physiological laws, such as fluid dynamics for blood flow, tissue elasticity, and biochemical reaction kinetics. This allows accurate simulation of cardiovascular dynamics, respiratory airflow, or organ deformation, tailored to individual patients.

For instance, in cardiovascular modeling, PIML can predict blood pressure variations, flow velocities, and stress on arterial walls, supporting diagnosis, surgical planning, and stent placement. In drug delivery simulations, it can help optimize dosage and predict diffusion patterns in tissues. Overall, PIML enhances personalized medicine by reducing reliance on extensive experiments and providing real-time predictive capabilities.

4. Industrial Applications

In industrial and manufacturing processes, PIML is leveraged to predict process outcomes, improve quality, and optimize operations while adhering to physical constraints. Traditional methods often rely on trial-and-error experiments, which are time-consuming and costly. Pure ML approaches may fail to respect underlying physics, leading to unsafe or unrealistic process predictions.

PIML addresses these issues by embedding laws of thermodynamics, fluid mechanics, chemical kinetics, and material properties into the learning model. For example:

- In chemical manufacturing, PIML can predict reaction yields and optimize temperature or pressure conditions while ensuring safe operational limits.
- In metal forming or additive manufacturing, it can forecast deformation patterns, material stress, or defect formation based on machine settings and physical principles.
- In energy systems, such as power plants, PIML can optimize fuel consumption or thermal efficiency while ensuring compliance with thermodynamic laws.

By enforcing physics-based constraints, PIML reduces trial-and-error experimentation, improves reliability, and accelerates process optimization. Moreover, integrating PIML with real-time sensor data enables predictive maintenance, fault detection, and adaptive control, increasing efficiency and reducing operational costs.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

1. Multi-Physics and Multi-Scale Modeling

Future research will focus on extending PIML to multi-physics and multi-scale systems, allowing simultaneous modeling of phenomena at different spatial and temporal scales.

2. Automated Model Selection

Developing automated techniques for selecting optimal neural network architectures and physics integration strategies will enhance the usability of PIML.

3. Explainability and Trustworthiness

Improving interpretability and explainability of PIML models is crucial for adoption in safety-critical domains. Techniques such as physics-guided feature attribution may enhance trust in model predictions.

4. Integration with Edge Computing

Deploying PIML models on edge devices will enable real-time monitoring and predictive control in resource-constrained environments, such as smart cities or autonomous vehicles.

CONCLUSION

Physics Informed Machine Learning represents a paradigm shift in scientific and engineering computation by bridging the gap between data-driven approaches and classical physics-based modeling. By embedding physical laws directly into machine learning frameworks, PIML achieves superior accuracy, robustness, and interpretability compared to purely data-driven models. While challenges such as computational complexity and multi-physics integration remain, ongoing research demonstrates its growing potential in diverse domains including fluid dynamics, climate science, structural engineering, and biomedical simulations. With continuous advancements in AI and high-performance computing, PIML is poised to become a foundational tool for predictive modeling, real-time decision-making, and scientific discovery in the coming decades.

REFERENCES

1. Karniadakis, G. E., Kevrekidis, I. G., Lu, L., Perdikaris, P., Wang, S., & Yang, L. (2021). *Physics-informed machine learning*. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(6), 422–440. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00314-5> Nature+2Pure+2
2. Raissi, M., Perdikaris, P., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 378, 686–707. GitHub+1

3. Lu, L., Meng, X., Mao, Z., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). *DeepXDE: A deep learning library for solving differential equations*. arXiv. arXiv
4. Kharazmi, E., Zhang, Z., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). Variational physics-informed neural networks for solving partial differential equations. arXiv. arXiv
5. Kim, J., Lee, K., Lee, D., Jin, S. Y., & Park, N. (2020). DPM: A novel training method for physics-informed neural networks in extrapolation. arXiv. arXiv
6. Meng, X., Li, Z., Zhang, Z., & Karniadakis, G. E. (2019). PPINN: Parareal Physics-Informed Neural Network for time-dependent PDEs. arXiv. arXiv
7. Ahmadi, M., & others. (2025). Physics-informed machine learning for advancing medical imaging. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. (Springer) SpringerLink
8. Al-Adly, A. I. F., & others. (2024). Physics-informed neural networks for structural health monitoring: A case study for Kirchhoff–Love plates. *Data-Centric Engineering*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge University Press & Assessment
9. Martinez, Y., & others. (2025). Physics-informed neural networks for the structural response and failure prediction of multi-span bridges under dynamic loads. *Mathematics*, 13(10). MDPI
10. Kashinath, K., & others. (2021). Physics-informed machine learning: Case studies for weather and climate. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*. Royal Society Publishing