MANTECH Publications

Journal of Data Mining and Knowledge Engineering
Volume 5, Issue 2, July-December 2020

Role of Knowledge Graphs in Decision Support Systems

Ranjan Jha, Shyam Sukla
Associate Professor, Students
Department of Computer Science
NMKRV College for Women — Bengaluru, Karnataka
Email: *Ranjan.4jha@yahoo.com, sukla_shyam90@gmail.com*

Abstract

Decision Support Systems (DSS) are widely used in organizations to assist managers and professionals in making informed decisions. However, traditional DSS mainly rely on structured databases and statistical models, which often fail to capture semantic relationships among heterogeneous data sources. In recent years, Knowledge Graphs (KGs) have emerged as a powerful paradigm for representing structured and unstructured information in a graph-based format. Knowledge graphs connect entities and relationships in a meaningful way, allowing reasoning, inference, and contextual understanding. This paper reviews the role of knowledge graphs in enhancing decision support systems. It discusses the architecture of knowledge graph–enabled DSS, integration techniques, reasoning mechanisms, and real-world applications in healthcare, finance, supply chain, and smart governance. The paper also examines challenges such as scalability, data quality, privacy, and interoperability. Finally, future research directions are presented to improve intelligent decision-making through semantic technologies.

Keywords: *Knowledge Graphs, Decision Support Systems, Semantic Reasoning, Data Integration, Intelligent Analytics, Ontology, Graph Databases*

INTRODUCTION

Decision making is a core activity in every organization. Modern enterprises generate huge amount of data from transactional systems, IoT devices, social media, and enterprise applications. Traditional Decision Support Systems (DSS) were designed to process structured

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

data using predefined rules and analytical models. While these systems are useful, they are limited in handling unstructured information and complex relationships between entities.

Knowledge graphs have gained significant attention after large-scale implementations like Google Knowledge Graph and Microsoft Semantic Graph initiatives. Knowledge graphs represent data as nodes (entities) and edges (relationships), forming a semantic network that can be queried and reasoned upon.

The integration of knowledge graphs into DSS enhances contextual awareness, improves inference capabilities, and supports real-time decision making. This paper reviews how knowledge graphs transform traditional DSS into intelligent, semantic-driven decision platforms.

OVERVIEW OF DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS

Decision Support Systems (DSS) are interactive computer-based systems designed to assist managers, analysts, and professionals in making decisions where complete structure or certainty does not exist. Unlike routine transaction systems, DSS are especially useful for semi-structured and unstructured problems where human judgment is still very important. These systems do not replace decision-makers; rather, they support them by organizing information, applying models, and presenting insights in a meaningful way.

The concept of DSS emerged during the 1970s when researchers such as Michael S. Scott Morton explored how computers could assist managerial decision-making. Later, scholars like Daniel J. Power expanded the theoretical foundations and classifications of DSS.

In simple terms, DSS combines data, analytical tools, and user-friendly interfaces to help users evaluate alternatives and choose the best possible action. Over time, DSS evolved from simple data-reporting tools to intelligent systems incorporating artificial intelligence, data mining, and knowledge-based reasoning.

1. Characteristics of Decision Support Systems

A DSS generally has the following characteristics:

- Supports semi-structured and unstructured decision problems

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

- Provides interactive and flexible environment
- Integrates data from multiple internal and external sources
- Allows "what-if" analysis and scenario evaluation
- Enhances, rather than replaces, human judgment

Unlike fully automated systems, DSS focuses on supporting managerial insight. It allows decision-makers to test different assumptions and visualize possible outcomes before implementing strategies.

COMPONENTS OF A TYPICAL DSS

A typical DSS consists of four major subsystems:

- Data Management Subsystem
- Model Management Subsystem
- User Interface
- Knowledge Base (optional in traditional DSS but increasingly important)

Each component plays a different but connected role in supporting decision-making.

1. Data Management Subsystem

The Data Management Subsystem is responsible for storing, organizing, and retrieving relevant data. It acts as the foundation of DSS because decisions are always based on available information.

This subsystem includes:

- **Database** – Contains structured and sometimes semi-structured data
- **Database Management System (DBMS)** – Manages access and security
- **Data Directory** – Describes the data structure and definitions
- **Query Tools** – Retrieve required information

Data sources may include:

- Internal enterprise systems (ERP, CRM)
- Financial databases
- Market research reports
- Web and social media data
- Sensor or IoT data

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

Traditional DSS mostly used relational databases. However, relational tables sometimes struggle to represent complex relationships among entities. For example, supplier–manufacturer–distributor networks are difficult to model efficiently using only tables. This limitation has motivated the integration of graph-based and semantic technologies in modern DSS.

2. Model Management Subsystem

The Model Management Subsystem contains analytical models that help process data and generate decision insights. It transforms raw data into actionable knowledge.

This subsystem typically includes:

- **Model Base** – Collection of quantitative and qualitative models
- **Model Base Management System (MBMS)** – Controls model execution
- **Modeling Tools** – Used to develop and modify models

Common types of models used in DSS:

Model Type	Purpose	Example
Statistical Models	Identify patterns	Regression analysis
Financial Models	Forecast investments	NPV, ROI calculation
Optimization Models	Resource allocation	Linear programming
Simulation Models	Scenario testing	Monte Carlo simulation
Forecasting Models	Predict future trends	Time-series analysis

Model-driven DSS heavily rely on mathematical computations. For example, in inventory management, linear programming helps determine optimal stock levels. In finance, simulation models evaluate risk scenarios.

However, traditional models depend on clearly defined input variables. When relationships between variables are complex or hidden, model effectiveness decreases. That is where knowledge-based enhancements become useful.

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

3. User Interface

The User Interface (UI) is the communication bridge between the system and the decision-maker. A DSS must provide easy-to-understand outputs, otherwise even powerful analytics become useless.

Key features of DSS user interface include:

- Dashboards and visual analytics
- Interactive reports
- Graphs and charts
- Query builders
- Drill-down analysis
- What-if analysis tools

Modern DSS incorporate visualization techniques such as:

- Heat maps
- Network graphs
- Geographic information systems (GIS)

The quality of user interface directly affects adoption of the system. If interface is complicated, users may not fully utilize analytical capabilities. Therefore, usability design plays important role in DSS success.

4. Knowledge Base

In early DSS, knowledge base was optional. Traditional systems mainly depended on data and mathematical models. However, with the development of Artificial Intelligence, knowledge-driven DSS have become more common.

A knowledge base contains:

- Business rules
- Expert knowledge
- Domain ontologies
- Inference rules
- Historical decision cases

Knowledge-driven DSS can generate recommendations instead of just presenting numbers. For example:

- Medical DSS suggests possible diagnoses
- Financial DSS alerts about fraud patterns

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

- Supply chain DSS recommends alternative suppliers

The inclusion of knowledge base enables reasoning capability. It supports decisions even when historical data is limited. This component becomes highly important when integrating knowledge graphs and semantic technologies.

EVOLUTION OF DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS

DSS has evolved in several stages:

1. **Data-Oriented DSS (1970s–1980s)**

Focused on database queries and reporting.

2. **Model-Oriented DSS (1980s–1990s)**

Emphasized mathematical and simulation models.

3. **Data Warehousing & BI Era (1990s–2000s)**

Introduced OLAP and business intelligence systems.

4. **Web-Based DSS (2000s)**

Enabled remote and collaborative decision-making.

5. **Intelligent DSS (2010 onwards)**

Integrated AI, machine learning, and semantic reasoning.

Modern intelligent DSS integrate machine learning platforms, big data technologies, and graph databases. Organizations like IBM and SAP have incorporated AI-based decision tools into enterprise solutions.

4. Types of Decision Problems Supported

Decision problems are generally categorized into:

- **Structured Problems** – Clear procedures exist (e.g., payroll calculation)
- **Semi-Structured Problems** – Partial rules available (e.g., sales forecasting)
- **Unstructured Problems** – No clear method (e.g., strategic expansion decision)

DSS is most valuable in semi-structured and unstructured problems. It provides analytical support while still allowing human judgment.

5. Benefits of DSS

Major benefits include:

- Faster decision-making
- Improved accuracy

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

- Reduced uncertainty
- Enhanced collaboration
- Better resource utilization

However, DSS also face challenges such as data quality issues, system integration complexity, and resistance to change among users.

6. Limitations of Traditional DSS

Traditional DSS have certain limitations:

- Difficulty handling unstructured data
- Limited semantic understanding
- Weak relationship modeling
- Static rule-based logic
- Lack of contextual reasoning

These limitations highlight the need for advanced technologies such as knowledge graphs to improve decision intelligence.

DSS can be categorized into:

Type of DSS	Description	Example Application
Data-driven DSS	Focuses on data analysis	Sales reporting
Model-driven DSS	Uses mathematical models	Financial forecasting
Knowledge-driven DSS	Provides recommendations	Medical diagnosis
Document-driven DSS	Manages unstructured documents	Legal research
Communication-driven DSS	Supports collaboration	Group decision platforms

Traditional DSS mainly depend on relational databases. However, relational models struggle to represent complex relationships such as hierarchical dependencies, many-to-many links, and semantic associations. This limitation motivates the use of knowledge graphs.

KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS: CONCEPTS AND COMPONENTS

A Knowledge Graph (KG) is a structured representation of facts in the form of triples:

Subject – Predicate – Object

For example:

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

Patient – diagnosedWith – Diabetes

This triple-based model is aligned with standards such as World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) recommendations like Resource Description Framework and Web Ontology Language.

1. Core Components of Knowledge Graphs

- **Entities** – Real-world objects (person, organization, and device).
- **Relations** – Connections between entities.
- **Ontology** – Schema defining domain concepts.
- **Reasoning Engine** – Infers new knowledge.
- **Graph Database** – Storage system like Neo4j.

2. Architecture of Knowledge Graph-Based DSS

Data Sources → Data Integration → Ontology Modeling → Knowledge Graph Construction →

Reasoning & Inference → Visualization & Decision Interface

This layered architecture enhances data consistency and semantic interpretation.

INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS IN DSS

1. Data Integration

Knowledge graphs enable integration of heterogeneous data from:

- Structured databases
- CSV/Excel files
- APIs
- Text documents
- IoT streams

Semantic mapping aligns different schemas into unified ontology. This reduces redundancy and ambiguity.

2. Semantic Enrichment

Entities extracted from raw text are linked to domain ontologies. Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools identify relationships and map them into graph structure.

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

3. Reasoning and Inference

Knowledge graphs allow:

- Rule-based reasoning
- Path-based inference
- Predictive link discovery

For example, if A is supplier of B, and B supplies C, system may infer indirect supply relationship.

APPLICATIONS OF KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS IN DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS

1. Healthcare Decision Support

In healthcare, DSS assist doctors in diagnosis and treatment planning. Knowledge graphs integrate patient records, medical literature, and drug databases.

Example systems inspired by IBM Watson Health use semantic reasoning to suggest treatment options.

Benefits:

- Drug interaction detection
- Personalized treatment recommendations
- Early disease risk prediction

2. Financial Risk Analysis

Banks use knowledge graphs to detect fraud and credit risk patterns. By linking transaction records, customer behavior, and external risk indicators, hidden fraud networks can be identified.

Financial organizations such as JPMorgan Chase use graph analytics to improve fraud detection accuracy.

3. Supply Chain Management

Knowledge graphs model supplier relationships, logistics routes, and inventory dependencies.

Supply Chain Challenge	Traditional DSS	KG-Enabled DSS
Supplier Risk	Static reporting	Dynamic dependency analysis
Inventory Optimization	Linear models	Relationship-based forecasting

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

Supply Chain Challenge	Traditional DSS	KG-Enabled DSS
Disruption Handling	Manual updates	Real-time semantic alerts

4. Smart Governance and Public Policy

Government agencies integrate demographic, economic, and geographic data for policy decisions. Knowledge graphs improve transparency and cross-department data sharing.

5. Business Intelligence and Strategic Planning

Graph-based DSS support executive decision-making by identifying:

- Market trends
- Customer segmentation
- Competitive landscape

Semantic queries provide contextual answers instead of simple numerical reports.

ADVANTAGES OF KNOWLEDGE GRAPHS IN DSS

- **Improved Data Integration**
- **Enhanced Semantic Understanding**
- **Better Explainability**
- **Support for Complex Queries**
- **Real-time Decision Support**

Unlike black-box AI models, knowledge graphs offer interpretable reasoning paths. Decision-makers can trace how recommendation was generated.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite advantages, there are several challenges:

1. Scalability

Large graphs require distributed storage and efficient query processing.

2. Data Quality

Incorrect entity linking may lead to wrong inferences.

3. Privacy and Security

Sensitive domains such as healthcare need strong access control mechanisms.

4. Interoperability

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

Different standards and ontology mismatches create integration issues.

5. Maintenance

Continuous updates are required to keep knowledge graph relevant.

EMERGING TRENDS

- **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)**
- **Hybrid AI (KG + Machine Learning)**
- **Real-time Streaming Graphs**
- **Explainable AI Integration**
- **Autonomous Decision Systems**

Large language models combined with knowledge graphs improve contextual reasoning and reduce hallucination problems.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Feature	Traditional DSS	Knowledge Graph-based DSS
Data Structure	Relational tables	Graph structure
Relationship Modeling	Limited	Rich semantic links
Query Type	SQL	SPARQL/Graph Query
Reasoning	Minimal	Logical inference
Explainability	Moderate	High

The comparison clearly shows that knowledge graph–enabled DSS provide more intelligent and context-aware support.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future work can focus on:

- Automated ontology learning
- Efficient graph compression techniques
- Cross-domain knowledge sharing
- Integration with blockchain for secure decision support
- Human-in-the-loop decision models

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved

Research is also needed in improving user interface design so non-technical users can interact easily with graph-based systems.

CONCLUSION

Knowledge graphs significantly enhance the capabilities of decision support systems by providing semantic integration, reasoning, and contextual awareness. Unlike traditional DSS that rely mainly on structured databases, KG-based systems handle heterogeneous and dynamic data more efficiently. Applications across healthcare, finance, supply chain, and governance demonstrate their practical importance. Although challenges such as scalability, privacy, and interoperability remain, ongoing advancements in graph databases and AI technologies are addressing these issues. In future, integration of knowledge graphs with machine learning and explainable AI will create more intelligent, transparent, and adaptive decision support systems. Organizations adopting semantic technologies will gain better strategic advantage and improved decision quality.

REFERENCES

1. Power, D. J. (2002). Decision Support Systems: Concepts and Resources for Managers.
2. Turban, E., Sharda, R., & Delen, D. (2011). Decision Support and Business Intelligence Systems.
3. Hogan, A., et al. (2021). Knowledge Graphs. ACM Computing Surveys.
4. Ehrlinger, L., & Wöß, W. (2016). Towards a Definition of Knowledge Graphs.
5. Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001). The Semantic Web. Scientific American.
6. Ji, S., et al. (2020). A Survey on Knowledge Graphs: Representation, Acquisition, and Applications. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks.
7. Nickel, M., Murphy, K., Tresp, V., & Gabrilovich, E. (2016). A Review of Relational Machine Learning for Knowledge Graphs.
8. Chen, H., Chiang, R., & Storey, V. (2012). Business Intelligence and Analytics. MIS Quarterly.
9. Sheth, A., et al. (2019). Knowledge Graphs and AI: Current Trends and Future Directions.
10. Wang, X., et al. (2017). Knowledge Graph Embedding: A Survey of Approaches and Applications.

Page 149-160 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2020. All Rights Reserved