MANTECH Publications
Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Soft Computing
Volume 2, Issue 2, July-December 2017

AI for Social Good & Public Policy

Sahana V Menon[1], Raushan Khattar[2], Neha B Kapoor[3]
Assistant Professor, Associate Professor
Department of ML & Data Science
R.A. Podar College, Mumbai, India
Email ID: *Sahanavmenon17@gmail.com[1], raushan05kha@yahoo.com[2], bneha200kapoor@rediffmail.com[3]*

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has shown potential to address many societal problems and support public policy making. This paper reviews how AI applications contribute to social good across different sectors, outlines challenges and risk, and discusses how public policy frameworks can guide responsible AI deployment. We explore domains such as healthcare, education, urban planning, disaster management, and public governance. While AI has benefits, it also brings issues on bias, privacy and fairness. This paper argues that effective public policy must balance innovation with ethical safeguards, encourage data governance and include multiple stakeholders in design and regulation of AI systems. We conclude with policy recommendations that aims to maximize positive societal impacts while managing risk.

Keywords: *AI for social good, public policy, ethics, bias, data governance, civic technology, public govern*

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) refers to technologies that can perform tasks requiring human-like intelligence such as learning, prediction, and decision making. In recent years, AI has been increasingly adopted beyond industry, into social and public sector uses. The idea of *AI for Social Good* is to leverage these technologies to improve quality of life, promote equality and support public welfare. Governments, non-profits and civic organizations are together exploring ways to align AI with societal values. At the same time, the rapid growth of AI raises

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

concerns regarding transparency, accountability, bias and misuse. This paper reviews existing research and practice in AI for social good, discusses the policy dimensions, and highlights impressions of how public policy can enable responsible adoption.

This review is organized as follows: first we explore AI contributions in key social sectors, then we examine the policy challenges and ethical concerns, next we discuss governance frameworks, and finally we present recommendations and conclusion.

2. AI APPLICATIONS FOR SOCIAL GOOD

AI's ability to process large volumes of data, detect hidden patterns, and make predictions has created significant opportunities to address long-standing social challenges. Across public sectors, AI is being used not only to automate processes but also to support better decision-making and improve citizen well-being. This section elaborates on how AI contributes to social good across five important domains.

2.1 Healthcare

Healthcare is one of the most impactful areas where AI contributes to social good. Modern hospitals and research centers generate huge amounts of data from medical images, electronic health records (EHR), laboratory results, and wearable devices. AI systems, particularly machine learning and deep learning, can analyze this data to assist clinicians in diagnosis and treatment planning.

For example, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are widely used for analyzing X-rays, MRIs, and CT scans to detect diseases such as lung cancer, brain tumors, and diabetic retinopathy at early stages. Early detection significantly increases survival rates and reduces treatment cost. AI-driven diagnostic tools are now assisting radiologists by highlighting suspicious areas in images that may otherwise be missed due to human fatigue.

Predictive analytics is also improving hospital operations. By analyzing patient admission data, AI models can predict peak times in emergency rooms, allowing better staff allocation and reducing patient waiting times. Similarly, AI can predict patient deterioration in intensive care units by continuously monitoring vital signs.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

In public health, AI played an important role during the COVID-19 pandemic. AI models analyzed mobility patterns, infection data, and demographic information to predict spread and resource requirements. Governments used these insights to plan lockdown strategies and vaccine distribution. Telemedicine platforms integrated with AI chatbots have further improved access to healthcare in rural and underserved areas where doctors are scarce.

However, healthcare AI systems face challenges such as strict data privacy requirements, difficulty in obtaining diverse and representative datasets, and risks of bias when models are trained on limited population data. Unequal access to digital infrastructure also limits AI benefits in low-income regions.

Benefits: Faster and more accurate diagnosis, optimized hospital management, improved rural healthcare access
Challenges: Data privacy, biased datasets, regulatory barriers, lack of infrastructure

2.2 Education and Learning

AI technologies are reshaping education by enabling personalized and adaptive learning experiences. Traditional classroom teaching often follows a uniform pace, which may not suit every learner. AI-powered intelligent tutoring systems analyze student responses in real time and adjust the difficulty level accordingly. This helps both slow and advanced learners progress effectively.

Automated grading systems using natural language processing (NLP) can evaluate essays and assignments, reducing teacher workload and allowing educators to focus more on mentoring. AI chatbots and virtual assistants also support students outside classroom hours by answering queries instantly.

AI is especially helpful for students with disabilities. Speech recognition and text-to-speech technologies assist visually impaired learners, while NLP-based tools support students with learning difficulties such as dyslexia. Language translation tools powered by AI make educational content accessible to diverse linguistic groups.

At a policy level, AI analytics helps education departments study performance trends across

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

schools and regions. This helps identify dropout patterns, allocate funding, and design targeted interventions. However, over-reliance on automated systems risks neglecting emotional, social, and creative aspects of learning that require human interaction.

Benefits: Personalized education, teacher support, accessibility for disabled learners
Challenges: Reduced human interaction, digital divide, over-dependence on automation

2.3 Urban Planning and Smart Cities

Cities worldwide are adopting AI to manage complex urban systems. Traffic congestion, pollution, waste management, and energy consumption are major urban challenges. AI solutions process real-time data from cameras, sensors, and GPS devices to optimize city operations.

For instance, AI-driven traffic management systems adjust traffic light timing dynamically based on vehicle flow, reducing congestion and fuel consumption. Smart waste collection systems use AI to determine optimal pickup routes, reducing operational cost. AI models are also used to predict energy demand and manage smart grids for efficient electricity distribution. Public transport systems benefit from AI through route optimization and predictive maintenance of vehicles. In some cities, AI analyzes structural data from bridges and roads to predict wear and tear, enabling timely maintenance before accidents occur.

Despite these benefits, smart city technologies often involve large-scale surveillance through cameras and sensors. This raises serious concerns about citizen privacy and data misuse. Public trust becomes crucial for successful implementation.

Benefits: Reduced congestion, energy efficiency, better infrastructure maintenance
Challenges: Privacy concerns, surveillance risks, high deployment cost

2.4 Disaster Management and Environmental Protection

AI has emerged as a powerful tool for disaster preparedness and environmental sustainability. Natural disasters such as floods, earthquakes, and hurricanes can be predicted more accurately using AI models trained on historical environmental data, satellite imagery, and sensor readings.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

Early warning systems powered by AI help authorities evacuate vulnerable areas in advance, saving lives. During disasters, AI-based drones and image recognition systems assist in locating survivors and assessing damage quickly.

In environmental protection, AI is used to monitor deforestation, predict climate change impacts, and track wildlife movement. Sensor networks combined with AI analytics help detect illegal poaching activities in forests. Climate scientists use AI models to simulate future climate scenarios and inform policy decisions.

These applications require coordination between multiple agencies, large datasets, and reliable communication networks. Lack of data sharing frameworks often limits effectiveness.

Benefits: Improved disaster response, environmental monitoring, wildlife protection
Challenges: Data integration, inter-agency cooperation, infrastructure limitations

2.5 Public Governance and Social Services

Governments are increasingly using AI to improve public service delivery. AI chatbots on government portals provide citizens with information about schemes, licenses, and services without long waiting times. AI is also used in fraud detection systems for welfare schemes, identifying suspicious patterns in benefit claims.

Machine learning models assist in tax compliance by detecting irregularities in financial data. In budgeting and planning, AI analytics helps governments forecast expenditures and allocate resources efficiently.

Social service departments use AI to identify at-risk populations by analyzing socioeconomic data. This allows targeted support for vulnerable groups. However, if the data used to train these systems contains historical bias, AI may reinforce discrimination rather than eliminate it.
Benefits: Efficient public services, fraud reduction, data-driven decision making
Challenges: Risk of discrimination, accountability issues, transparency concerns

3. Ethical & Policy Challenges

Although AI offers remarkable opportunities for improving public services and social

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

outcomes, its deployment in real-world governance settings introduces complex ethical and policy challenges. AI systems influence decisions that affect people's lives, such as access to healthcare, education, welfare benefits, and legal outcomes. Therefore, without proper safeguards, AI can unintentionally create harm, reinforce inequality, and reduce public trust. Public policy must anticipate and address these challenges in a systematic way.

3.1 Bias and Fairness

One of the most discussed ethical issues in AI is algorithmic bias. AI systems are trained on historical data, and this data often reflects past social inequalities, discrimination, and uneven representation of groups. When such biased data is used for training, AI models may learn and reproduce the same unfair patterns.

For example, predictive policing systems trained on past crime data may target specific neighborhoods repeatedly, not because crime is inherently higher there, but because those areas were historically over-policed. Similarly, AI-based hiring tools have shown bias against certain genders or ethnic groups due to skewed training data.

Bias in AI is not always intentional; it can arise from incomplete datasets, flawed feature selection, or even unconscious assumptions by developers. However, the impact can be serious, especially in public policy contexts where decisions affect rights and opportunities.

To ensure fairness, policies should require bias audits, diverse training datasets, and fairness testing before deployment of AI systems in public services. Inclusion of affected communities in system design can also help identify potential biases early.

3.2 Transparency and Explainability

Many AI models, especially deep neural networks, operate as "black boxes." They provide predictions or decisions without clear explanations of how the outcome was reached. In public governance, this lack of transparency is problematic.

Citizens have the right to understand decisions that affect them, such as why they were denied a loan, welfare benefit, or admission to a program. Public officials also need to understand AI outputs before relying on them. Without explainability, trust in AI systems reduces, and

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

accountability becomes difficult.

Explainable AI (XAI) is an emerging research area that aims to make AI decisions more interpretable. However, technical explainability must be translated into understandable terms for non-experts. Policy frameworks should mandate documentation, model interpretability standards, and the right to explanation for citizens affected by AI decisions.

3.3 Privacy and Data Protection

AI systems often depend on large amounts of personal data, including health records, financial information, location data, and social behavior. Misuse or leakage of such data can severely harm individuals and violate fundamental rights.

While frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe provide strong guidelines for data protection, many countries still lack comprehensive data privacy laws. Even where laws exist, enforcement remains a challenge due to rapid technological advancements.

AI-driven surveillance systems in smart cities, facial recognition in public spaces, and data collection through apps raise concerns about constant monitoring without consent. There is a risk that data collected for social good can later be used for surveillance or commercial purposes.

Policies must enforce data minimization, informed consent, anonymization techniques, and strict access control. Public awareness about data rights is also essential so citizens understand how their data is used.

3.4 Accountability

Determining accountability in AI systems is complex. If an AI system makes an incorrect decision that causes harm, who is responsible? Is it the developer who built the algorithm, the agency that deployed it, or the official who relied on its output?

This ambiguity can lead to situations where no one takes responsibility for mistakes. In public policy contexts, this is unacceptable because citizens must have avenues for grievance redressal.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

Policies need to clearly define liability and responsibility in AI deployment. Documentation of decision processes, audit trails, and human oversight are necessary. AI should support human decision-making, not replace it entirely in high-stakes scenarios.

Establishing independent oversight bodies to monitor AI use in public services can improve accountability and trust.

3.5 Digital Divide and Inequality

AI technologies require digital infrastructure, internet access, and technical literacy. Communities that lack these resources may not benefit from AI-driven services. This creates a digital divide where affluent regions gain more advantages while underprivileged communities are left behind.

For example, telemedicine services may not reach rural populations without stable internet connectivity. AI-based educational tools may benefit students with devices and connectivity, while others struggle.

Furthermore, AI systems trained on urban data may not perform well in rural or tribal contexts due to lack of representative data. This can lead to exclusion from benefits.

Public policy must focus on digital inclusion by improving infrastructure, promoting digital literacy, and ensuring AI datasets represent diverse populations. Equity-focused policies can prevent AI from widening social gaps.

4. POLICY FRAMEWORKS FOR RESPONSIBLE AI

Public policy plays a key role in shaping how AI is developed and used for social good. Effective policy frameworks include principles, regulations, governance mechanisms, and collaboration models.

4.1 Principles for Ethical AI

Many governments and international organizations have proposed ethical principles such as transparency, fairness, accountability, privacy protection, and human oversight (Jobin, Ienca & Vayena, 2019). These serve as normative guides for creating standards and laws.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

4.2 Regulatory Models

Regulation can range from broad data protection laws to sector-specific AI rules. Some countries have established AI governance bodies to evaluate risks and certify AI systems before deployment. The concept of *risk-based regulation* prioritizes oversight on high-impact systems.

4.3 Data Governance and Sharing

Public policy should enable secure and ethical sharing of data across institutions. Data trusts, anonymization standards and consent mechanisms are elements that need policy support. Without clear data governance, many AI systems cannot function reliably.

4.4 Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration

Creating policy for AI should include voices from government, civil society, academia, industry and affected communities. Co-design processes improve legitimacy and help identify context-specific risks.

4.5 Capacity Building in Government

Public agencies often lack expertise to assess AI systems. Policy must invest in training civil servants and creating specialized units to handle AI procurement, evaluation and monitoring.

5. CASE STUDIES

Below we provide illustrative examples showing how AI and policy interact in practice.

Table 1: Summary of AI for Social Good Interventions

Sector	**AI Application**	**Public Policy Role**	**Primary Benefits**	**Key Challenges**
Healthcare	Disease prediction, Telemedicine AI	Data protection law, health standards	Faster diagnosis, access to care	Privacy, biased data
Education	Personalized learning systems	Curriculum standards, digital access policy	Tailored education	Quality control, equity
Urban Planning	Traffic optimization	Smart city policies, public procurement	Reduced congestion	Surveillance concerns
Disaster Management	Early warning systems	Disaster response frameworks	Better preparedness	Data co-ordination

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

Sector	AI Application	Public Policy Role	Primary Benefits	Key Challenges
Governance	Chatbots for services	Government tech guidelines	Efficient services	Accountability gaps

Case Example: AI in Public Health Surveillance

During infectious disease outbreaks, AI can help predict spread and resource needs. In some countries, AI dashboards supported monitoring of vaccine distribution and hospital capacity. However, in absence of law on digital data use, concerns emerged about misuse of health data for non-health purposes.

Policy response included emergency data use guidelines, anonymization enforcement, and sunset clauses to ensure temporary measures did not become permanent intrusions.

6. DISCUSSION

This section integrates findings, highlighting opportunities and caution in AI for social good.

6.1 Benefits

AI is no longer theoretical in public sector. Across many domains, AI has improved efficiency, prediction accuracy, and operational insights. AI supports limited resource environments by prioritizing interventions.

6.2 Persistent Concerns

Despite benefits, ethical and social concerns persist. Bias remains a core issue because most models are trained on historical or incomplete data. Without corrective mechanisms, AI may reproduce inequity rather than reduce it.

Documentation and transparency is critical, yet highly technical systems are difficult for non-experts to assess. This challenges democratic oversight.

6.3 Policy as Enabler, Not Barrier

Public policy should not stifle innovation, but guide it. Rigid rules without context awareness may slow adoption. Instead, adaptive regulation, sandbox environments and public-private partnerships can help balance safety and agility.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on review and observed challenges, we outline recommendations:

- **National AI Strategies:** Countries should formulate clear AI strategies that emphasize social good with measurable goals.
- **Ethics Boards and Impact Assessment:** AI projects in public domain should undergo ethics review and impact assessments before deployment.
- **Open Data Standards:** Governments should develop secure protocols that allow sharing data in anonymized form for social good projects.
- **Civil Society Participation:** Inclusive policy making which includes marginalized communities can better account for social impact.
- **Audit and Accountability:** Regular audits with external reviewers can help identify bias and system errors. Clear accountability for harms must be in law
- **Education & Public Awareness:** Broader AI literacy among citizens helps in informed debate about public policy.

CONCLUSION

AI for social good holds significant promise to improve social outcomes in areas such as healthcare, education and public governance. However, maximizing benefits requires effective public policy frameworks that address ethics, fairness, transparency and accountability. Policy makers must work with technologists, civil society and impacted communities to ensure AI systems are equitable and aligned with societal values. Balanced regulations, robust data governance and inclusive processes are key to ensuring AI contributes to public good without widening inequality or eroding trust. Future work should focus on longitudinal evaluations of AI policies and collaborative frameworks that adapt to rapid technological changes.

REFERENCES

1. Hassan, T., Liu, S., & Cho, H. (2021). *AI in Healthcare: Opportunities and Challenges*. Journal of Health Informatics, 12(4), 210-227.
2. Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). *The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines*. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(9), 389-399.
3. Lum, K., & Isaac, W. (2016). *To predict and serve? Predictive policing and civil rights*. Significance, 13(5), 14-19.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved

4. Smith, A., & Anderson, J. (2020). *AI, Ethics and Public Policy*. Governance Journal, 9(2), 45-60.
5. Binns, R. (2018). *Fairness in Machine Learning: Lessons from Political Philosophy*. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, 81, 149-159.
6. Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). *The Ethics of Algorithms*. Big Data & Society, 3(2), 1-21.
7. Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). *A Unified Framework of Five Principles for AI in Society*. Harvard Data Science Review.
8. Winfield, A. F. T., & Jirotka, M. (2018). *Ethical governance of robotics and AI*. Springer.
9. Cath, C. (2018). *Governing Artificial Intelligence: Ethical, Legal and Technical Opportunities and Challenges*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 376(2133).
10. European Union. (2018). *General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)*. Official Journal of the European Union.

Page 110-121 © MANTECH PUBLICATIONS 2017. All Rights Reserved